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INSTITUTION’S CRA RATING

INSTITUTION'S CRA RATING: ____________

The following table indicates the performance level of name of financial institution with respect to the lending, investment, and service tests.

[Indicate the performance level under each criterion by marking an "X" in the appropriate row.]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PERFORMANCE LEVELS</th>
<th>NAME OF FINANCIAL INSTITUTION</th>
<th>PERFORMANCE TESTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lending Test*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Investment Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Service Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outstanding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High Satisfactory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low Satisfactory</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Needs to Improve</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantial Noncompliance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Note: The lending test is weighted more heavily than the investment and service tests when arriving at an overall rating.

Summarize the major factors supporting the institution's rating. When evidence of discriminatory or other illegal credit practices inconsistent with helping to meet community credit needs has been identified in the supervisory process, the conclusion must include a statement if the rating was influenced by this evidence. The conclusion should not mention any technical violations.
INSTITUTION

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION

Write a brief description of the institution. Include relevant information regarding the institution's holding company and affiliates, if any, the states and assessment areas served, the institution's ability to meet various credit needs based on its financial condition and size, product offerings, prior performance, legal impediments and other factors. Other information that may be important includes total assets, asset/loan portfolio mix, primary business focus, branching network, and any merger or acquisition activity.

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

Write a short description of the scope of the examination. At a minimum, discuss the specific lending products reviewed, the names of (any) affiliates reviewed and their corresponding lending products, the institution's assessment areas and whether its activities in the assessment areas were reviewed using a full-scope review and the time period covered in the review.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS

Discuss the institution's CRA performance. The facts, data, and analyses that were used to form a conclusion about the rating must be reflected in the performance evaluation. The narrative should clearly demonstrate how the results of each of the performance test analyses and relevant information from the performance context factored into the overall institution rating. Charts and tables may be used to summarize and effectively present the most critical or informative data used by the examiner in analyzing the institution’s performance and reaching conclusions.

FAIR LENDING OR OTHER ILLEGAL CREDIT PRACTICES REVIEW

Write a paragraph about the institution’s record of complying with laws relating to discrimination or other illegal credit practices inconsistent with helping to meet community credit needs using the following guidelines:
If no substantive violations were found, state that no evidence of discriminatory or other illegal credit practices inconsistent with helping to meet community credit needs was identified. Even if discrimination has not been found, comments related to the institution’s fair lending policies, procedures, training programs and internal assessment efforts might still be appropriate.

When substantive violations involving discriminatory or other illegal credit practices inconsistent with helping to meet community credit needs have been identified, state that substantive violations were found, whether they caused the CRA rating to be adjusted downward, and why the rating was or was not adjusted. Identify the law(s) and regulation(s) violated, the extent of the violation(s) (e.g., widespread, or limited to a particular office, division, subsidiary, or affiliate) and characterize management’s responsiveness in acting upon the issue(s). Discuss whether the institution has policies, procedures, training programs, internal assessment efforts, or other practices in place to prevent discriminatory or other illegal credit practices. State whether management has taken, or has committed to take, corrective action particularly with respect to voluntary corrective action resulting from self-assessment(s).
MULTISTATE METROPOLITAN AREA

CRA RATING FOR (Name of Multistate Metropolitan Area, including State Names)\textsuperscript{1}:

\begin{itemize}
  \item The Lending Test is rated: __________.
  \item The Investment Test is rated: __________.
  \item The Service Test is rated: __________.
\end{itemize}

\textit{[Complete for each multistate metropolitan area where an institution has branches in two or more states within the multistate metropolitan area.]}

Summarize the major factors supporting the institution's multistate metropolitan area rating. When evidence of discriminatory or other illegal credit practices inconsistent with helping to meet community credit needs have been identified in the supervisory process and were taken into account in the CRA evaluation, the conclusion must include a statement that the rating was influenced by the evidence of discriminatory or other illegal credit practices inconsistent with helping to meet community credit needs. The conclusion should not mention any technical violations.

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

Write a short description of the scope of the examination within the multistate metropolitan area. Discuss how CRA activities in the multistate metropolitan area were reviewed (using full-scope or limited-scope review). If meaningful, discuss the time period covered in the review, the specific lending products reviewed, the names of (any) affiliates reviewed and their corresponding lending products, and how they were considered in the evaluation. Include a description of loan samples used in your analysis. When appropriate, you may also refer the reader to a chart similar to that included in Appendix A.

\textsuperscript{1}This rating reflects performance within the multistate metropolitan area. The statewide evaluations are adjusted and do not reflect performance in the parts of those states contained within the multistate metropolitan area.
DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION’S OPERATIONS IN *(Name of Multistate Metropolitan Area)*

Describe the institution’s operations within the multistate metropolitan area, including a description of each of the assessment area(s) that it serves within the multistate metropolitan area. Information that may be important includes: total assets; asset/loan portfolio mix; primary business focus; branching network; and any merger or acquisition activity. For each of the assessment areas served, include key information such as the number of branches within the assessment area and the number of individuals and geographies in each income category. Other information that may be important includes population trends, type and condition of housing stock, available employment, and general business activity. Also include a summary of any credit needs identified and particular lending opportunities which were noted. Discuss, if appropriate, the number and kinds of CRA-related community contacts that were consulted and relevant information obtained and used, if any, in the CRA evaluation. Typically, more detailed information will be presented for assessment areas reviewed using a full-scope review.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN *(Name of Multistate Metropolitan Area)*

Discuss the institution’s CRA performance within the multistate metropolitan area. The narrative should clearly demonstrate how the results of each of the performance test analyses factored into the rating. Support your conclusions with an analysis of facts and data, such as the number and volume of loans and investments, by type, across geographies and borrower categories in the assessment areas reviewed using a full-scope review. In addition, support your conclusions with a discussion of facts and data for assessment areas reviewed using a limited-scope review when appropriate. Indicate whether the institution’s performance in the assessment areas reviewed using a limited-scope review is consistent with the institution’s record in assessment areas reviewed using a full-scope review in the multistate metropolitan area. Charts and tables may be used to summarize and effectively present the most critical or informative data used by the examiner in analyzing the institution’s performance and reaching conclusions.
STATE

CRA RATING FOR (Name of State)²:
The Lending Test is rated: __________.
The Investment Test is rated: __________.
The Service Test is rated: __________.

[Complete for each state in which an institution has branches if the institution has branches in two or more states. For an institution that has branches in only one state, complete the metropolitan area and nonmetropolitan statewide area presentations only for that state, as applicable in light of the location of the branches.]

Summarize the major factors supporting the institution's state rating. When evidence of discriminatory or other illegal credit practices inconsistent with helping to meet community credit needs have been identified in the supervisory process and were taken into account in the CRA evaluation, the conclusion must include a statement that the rating was influenced by the evidence of discriminatory or other illegal credit practices inconsistent with helping to meet community credit needs. The conclusion should not mention any technical violations.

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION

Write a short description of the scope of the examination within the state. Discuss how CRA activities in the state were reviewed (using full-scope or limited-scope review). If meaningful, discuss the time period covered in the review, the specific lending products reviewed, the names of (any) affiliates reviewed and their corresponding lending products, and how they were considered in the evaluation. Include a description of loan samples used in your analysis.

When appropriate, you may also refer the reader to a chart similar to that included in Appendix A.

²For institutions with branches in two or more states in a multistate metropolitan area, this statewide evaluation is adjusted and does not reflect performance in the parts of those states contained within the multistate metropolitan area. Refer to the multistate metropolitan area rating and discussion for the rating and evaluation of the institution’s performance in that area.
DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION’S OPERATIONS IN *(Name of State)*

Describe the institution's operations within the state, including a description of the assessment area(s) served. Information that may be important includes: total statewide assets; asset/loan portfolio mix; primary business focus; branching network; any merger or acquisition activity; and a brief description of the metropolitan areas, nonmetropolitan areas, and assessment areas served within the state.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN *(Name of State)*

Discuss the institution's CRA performance within the state. The facts, data and analyses that were used to form a conclusion about the rating should be reflected in the performance evaluation. The narrative should clearly demonstrate how the results of each of the performance test analyses factored into the rating. Charts and tables may be used to summarize and effectively present the most critical or informative data used by the examiner in analyzing the institution’s performance and reaching conclusions.
METROPOLITAN AREAS
(For metropolitan areas with some or all assessment areas reviewed using full-scope review)

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION'S OPERATIONS IN (Name of Metropolitan Area and State)

Describe the institution's operations within the metropolitan area, including a description of each of the assessment area(s) that it serves within the metropolitan area. Information that may be important includes: the number of branches within the assessment areas and the number of individuals and geographies in each income category. Other information that may be important includes population trends, income levels, type and condition of housing stock, available employment, and general business activity. Also include a summary of any credit needs identified and particular lending opportunities which were noted. Discuss, if appropriate, the number and kinds of CRA-related community contacts that were consulted and relevant information obtained and used, if any, in the CRA evaluation. Typically, more detailed information will be presented for assessment areas reviewed using a full-scope review. Charts and tables may be used to effectively present information as appropriate, particularly for assessment areas that do not receive a full-scope review.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN (Name of Metropolitan Area and State)

Discuss the institution's CRA performance within the metropolitan area. The narrative should clearly demonstrate how the results of each of the performance test analyses factored into the conclusions. Support your conclusions with an analysis of facts and data, such as the number and volume of loans and investments, by type, across geographies and borrower categories in the assessment areas reviewed using a full-scope review. In addition, support your conclusions with a discussion of facts and data for assessment areas reviewed using a limited-scope review when appropriate. Indicate whether the institution's performance in the assessment areas reviewed using a limited-scope review is consistent with the institution's record in assessment areas reviewed using a full-scope review in the metropolitan area. Charts and tables may be used to summarize and effectively present the most critical or informative data used by the examiner in analyzing the institution’s performance and reaching conclusions.
METROPOLITAN AREAS
(For each metropolitan area where no assessment areas were reviewed using full-scope review)

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION’S OPERATIONS IN (Name of Metropolitan Area and State)

Describe the institution's operations within the metropolitan area, including a description of each of the assessment area(s) that it serves within the metropolitan area. Include key information such as the number of branches within the assessment areas and the number of individuals and geographies in each income category.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN (Name of Metropolitan Area and State)

Summarize the facts and data that were reviewed, including demographic information on the assessment areas and information on the institution's performance. Indicate whether the institution's performance in the assessment areas reviewed using a limited-scope review is consistent with the institution's record [overall/in the state], using one of the two following statements:

a. The institution’s [lending, investment, service] performance in the area is consistent with the institution’s [lending, investment, service] performance overall [or in the state].

b. The institution’s [lending, investment, service] performance in the area [exceeds/is below], the institution’s [lending, investment, service] performance for the [institution/state]; however, it does not change the rating for the [institution/state].
NONMETROPOLITAN STATEWIDE AREAS
(if some or all of the assessment areas within the nonmetropolitan statewide area were reviewed using full-scope review)

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION’S OPERATIONS IN (Name of Nonmetropolitan Area and State)

Describe the institution's operations within the nonmetropolitan statewide area, including a description of each of the assessment area(s) that it serves within the nonmetropolitan statewide area. Information that may be important includes: the number of branches within the assessment areas and the number of individuals and geographies in each income category. Other information that may be important includes population trends, income levels, type and condition of housing stock, available employment, and general business activity. Also include a summary of any credit needs identified and particular lending opportunities which were noted. Discuss, if appropriate, the number and kinds of CRA-related community contacts that were consulted and relevant information obtained and used, if any, in the CRA evaluation. Typically, more detailed information will be presented for assessment areas reviewed using a full-scope review. Charts and tables may be used to effectively present information as appropriate, particularly for assessment areas that do not receive a full-scope review.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN (Name of Nonmetropolitan Area and State)

Discuss the institution's CRA performance within the nonmetropolitan statewide area. The facts, data and analyses that were used to form a conclusion should be reflected in the narrative. The narrative should clearly demonstrate how the results of each of the performance test analyses factored into the conclusions for the nonmetropolitan statewide area. Support your conclusions with an analysis of facts and data, such as the number and volume of loans and investments, by type, across geographies and borrower categories in the assessment areas reviewed using a full-scope review. In addition, support your conclusions with a discussion of facts and data for assessment areas reviewed using a limited-scope review when appropriate. Indicate whether the institution's performance in the assessment areas

---

3The discussion of an institution’s CRA performance within a nonmetropolitan statewide area is only required for institutions with branches in two or more states. A separate discussion of CRA performance within a nonmetropolitan statewide area for intrastate banks that have branches in metropolitan and nonmetropolitan areas is optional because the performance in the nonmetropolitan areas have been reviewed and discussed in the overall evaluation of the institution. Examiners may wish to discuss in greater detail, however, the assessment areas within nonmetropolitan areas that were reviewed using a full-scope review for intrastate banks with branches in metropolitan and nonmetropolitan areas or for intrastate banks with branches only in nonmetropolitan areas.
reviewed using a limited-scope review is consistent with the institution's record in assessment areas reviewed using a full-scope review in the nonmetropolitan statewide area. Charts and tables may be used to summarize and effectively present the most critical or informative data used by the examiner in analyzing the institution’s performance and reaching conclusions.
NONMETROPOLITAN STATEWIDE AREAS
(if none of the assessment areas within the nonmetropolitan statewide area were reviewed using full-scope review)

DESCRIPTION OF INSTITUTION’S OPERATIONS IN (Name of Nonmetropolitan Area and State)

Describe the institution’s operations within the nonmetropolitan statewide area, including a description of each of the assessment area(s) that it serves. Include key information such as the number of branches within each assessment area and the number of individuals and geographies in each income category.

CONCLUSIONS WITH RESPECT TO PERFORMANCE TESTS IN (Name of Nonmetropolitan Statewide Area)

Summarize the facts and data that were reviewed, including demographic information on the assessment areas and information on the institution’s performance. Indicate whether the institution's performance in the assessment areas reviewed using a limited-scope review is consistent with the institution's record [overall/in the state], using one of the two following statements:

a. The institution’s [lending, investment, service] performance in the area is consistent with the institution’s [lending, investment, service] performance overall [or in the state].

b. The institution’s [lending, investment, service] performance in the area [exceeds/is below], the institution’s [lending, investment, service] performance for the [institution/state]; however, it does not change the rating for the [institution/state].

4The discussion of an institution’s CRA performance within a nonmetropolitan statewide area is only required for institutions with branches in two or more states. A separate discussion of CRA performance within a nonmetropolitan statewide area for intrastate banks that have branches in metropolitan and nonmetropolitan areas is optional. Examiners may wish to discuss in greater detail, however, the assessment areas within the nonmetropolitan areas that were reviewed using a full-scope review for intrastate banks with branches in metropolitan and nonmetropolitan areas or for intrastate banks with branches only in nonmetropolitan areas.
APPENDIX A

SCOPE OF EXAMINATION TABLE

Large institutions with multiple assessment areas or affiliates subject to examination may warrant the use of charts that convey information regarding the scope of the examination. The following chart may be used as a supplement to the discussion of the scope or in lieu thereof.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SCOPE OF EXAMINATION</th>
<th>[SAMPLE]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Note: Example provided for clarity]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TIME PERIOD REVIEWED</th>
<th>1/1/95 TO 6/30/96</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FINANCIAL INSTITUTION</th>
<th>XYZ State Bank, Grand Rapids, MI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRODUCTS REVIEWED</td>
<td>Small Business, Small Farm, Consumer Unsecured</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AFFILIATE(S)</th>
<th>XYZ Mortgage Company</th>
<th>Bank subsidiary</th>
<th>Mortgage loans</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AFFILIATE RELATIONSHIP</td>
<td>XYZ Community Investment Corporation</td>
<td>Holding company subsidiary</td>
<td>Investments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRODUCTS REVIEWED</td>
<td>XYZ Credit Card Corporation</td>
<td>Holding company subsidiary</td>
<td>Credit Cards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There is a statutory requirement that the written evaluation of a multistate institution’s performance must list the individual branches examined in each state.

### LIST OF ASSESSMENT AREAS AND TYPE OF EXAMINATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT AREA</th>
<th>TYPE OF EXAMINATION</th>
<th>BRANCHES VISITED</th>
<th>OTHER INFORMATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ILLINOIS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSA 19500 Decatur</td>
<td>Full-scope review</td>
<td></td>
<td>Mortgage loans not offered in nonmetropolitan rural areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adams County</td>
<td>Limited-scope review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonmetropolitan rural Illinois</td>
<td>Full-scope review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MICHIGAN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSA 243401 Grand Rapids</td>
<td>Full-scope review</td>
<td></td>
<td>The scope of examination for nonmetropolitan rural Michigan branches, encompasses activities for the past six months, coinciding with their acquisition date.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Marcellus</td>
<td>Full-scope review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonmetropolitan rural Michigan</td>
<td>Limited-scope review</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX B

SUMMARY OF STATE AND MULTISTATE METROPOLITAN AREA RATINGS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State or Multistate Metropolitan Area Name</th>
<th>Lending Test Rating</th>
<th>Investment Test Rating</th>
<th>Service Test Rating</th>
<th>Overall State Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX C

GLOSSARY

Aggregate lending: The number of loans originated and purchased by all reporting lenders in specified income categories as a percentage of the aggregate number of loans originated and purchased by all reporting lenders in the metropolitan area/assessment area.

Census tract: A small subdivision of metropolitan and other densely populated counties. Census tract boundaries do not cross county lines; however, they may cross the boundaries of metropolitan statistical areas. Census tracts usually have between 2,500 and 8,000 persons, and their physical size varies widely depending upon population density. Census tracts are designed to be homogeneous with respect to population characteristics, economic status, and living conditions to allow for statistical comparisons.

Community development: All Agencies have adopted the following language. Affordable housing (including multifamily rental housing) for low- or moderate-income individuals; community services targeted to low- or moderate-income individuals; activities that promote economic development by financing businesses or farms that meet the size eligibility standards of the Small Business Administration’s Development Company or Small Business Investment Company programs (13 CFR 121.301) or have gross annual revenues of $1 million or less; or, activities that revitalize or stabilize low- or moderate-income geographies.

Effective September 1, 2005, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation have adopted the following additional language as part of the revitalize or stabilize definition of community development. Activities that revitalize or stabilize-

(i) Low-or moderate-income geographies;
(ii) Designated disaster areas; or
(iii) Distressed or underserved nonmetropolitan middle-income geographies designated by the Board, Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, and Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, based on-
   a. Rates of poverty, unemployment, and population loss; or
   b. Population size, density, and dispersion. Activities that revitalize and stabilize geographies designated based on population size, density, and dispersion if they help to meet essential community needs, including needs of low- and moderate-income individuals.
**Consumer loan(s):** A loan(s) to one or more individuals for household, family, or other personal expenditures. A consumer loan does not include a home mortgage, small business, or small farm loan. This definition includes the following categories: motor vehicle loans, credit card loans, home equity loans, other secured consumer loans, and other unsecured consumer loans.

**Family:** Includes a householder and one or more other persons living in the same household who are related to the householder by birth, marriage, or adoption. The number of family households always equals the number of families; however, a family household may also include non-relatives living with the family. Families are classified by type as either a married-couple family or other family, which is further classified into ‘male householder’ (a family with a male householder and no wife present) or ‘female householder’ (a family with a female householder and no husband present).

**Full-scope review:** Performance under the Lending, Investment, and Service Tests is analyzed considering performance context, quantitative factors (for example, geographic distribution, borrower distribution, and total number and dollar amount of investments), and qualitative factors (for example, innovativeness, complexity, and responsiveness).

**Geography:** A census tract delineated by the United States Bureau of the Census in the most recent decennial census.

**Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA):** The statute that requires certain mortgage lenders that do business or have banking offices in a metropolitan statistical area to file annual summary reports of their mortgage lending activity. The reports include such data as the race, gender, and the income of applications, the amount of loan requested, and the disposition of the application (for example, approved, denied, and withdrawn).

**Home mortgage loans:** Includes home purchase and home improvement loans as defined in the HMDA regulation. This definition also includes multifamily (five or more families) dwelling loans, loans for the purchase of manufactured homes and refinancings of home improvement and home purchase loans.

**Household:** Includes all persons occupying a housing unit. Persons not living in households are classified as living in group quarters. In 100 percent tabulations, the count of households always equals the count of occupied housing units.
Limited-scope review: Performance under the Lending, Investment, and Service Tests is analyzed using only quantitative factors (for example, geographic distribution, borrower distribution, total number and dollar amount of investments, and branch distribution).

Low-income: Individual income that is less than 50 percent of the area median income, or a median family income that is less than 50 percent, in the case of a geography.

Market share: The number of loans originated and purchased by the institution as a percentage of the aggregate number of loans originated and purchased by all reporting lenders in the metropolitan area/assessment area.

Metropolitan area (MA): A metropolitan statistical area (MSA) or a metropolitan division (MD) as defined by the Office of Management and Budget. A MSA is a core area containing at least one urbanized area of 50,000 or more inhabitants, together with adjacent communities having a high degree of economic and social integration with that core. A MD is a division of a MSA based on specific criteria including commuting patterns. Only a MSA that has a population of at least 2.5 million may be divided into MDs.

Middle-income: Individual income that is at least 80 percent and less than 120 percent of the area median income, or a median family income that is at least 80 percent and less than 120 percent, in the case of a geography.

Moderate-income: Individual income that is at least 50 percent and less than 80 percent of the area median income, or a median family income that is at least 50 percent and less than 80 percent, in the case of a geography.

Multifamily: Refers to a residential structure that contains five or more units.

Other products: Includes any unreported optional category of loans for which the institution collects and maintains data for consideration during a CRA examination. Examples of such activity include consumer loans and other loan data an institution may provide concerning its lending performance.

Owner-occupied units: Includes units occupied by the owner or co-owner, even if the unit has not been fully paid for or is mortgaged.

Qualified investment: A qualified investment is defined as any lawful investment, deposit, membership share, or grant that has as its primary purpose community development.

Rated area: A rated area is a state or multistate metropolitan area. For an institution with domestic
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branches in only one state, the institution’s CRA rating would be the state rating. If an institution maintains domestic branches in more than one state, the institution will receive a rating for each state in which those branches are located. If an institution maintains domestic branches in two or more states within a multistate metropolitan area, the institution will receive a rating for the multistate metropolitan area.

**Small loan(s) to business(es):** A loan included in 'loans to small businesses' as defined in the Consolidated Report of Condition and Income (Call Report) and the Thrift Financial Reporting (TFR) instructions. These loans have original amounts of $1 million or less and typically are either secured by nonfarm or nonresidential real estate or are classified as commercial and industrial loans. However, thrift institutions may also exercise the option to report loans secured by nonfarm residential real estate as "small business loans" if the loans are reported on the TFR as nonmortgage, commercial loans.

**Small loan(s) to farm(s):** A loan included in ‘loans to small farms’ as defined in the instructions for preparation of the Consolidated Report of Condition and Income (Call Report). These loans have original amounts of $500,000 or less and are either secured by farmland, or are classified as loans to finance agricultural production and other loans to farmers.

**Upper-income:** Individual income that is more than 120 percent of the area median income, or a median family income that is more than 120 percent, in the case of a geography.