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MESSAGE FROM THE CHAIRMAN

As the new chairman of the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (Council), I am pleased to report on the Council’s activities. During 2001, the Council continued its efforts to foster communication, coordination, and cooperation among its member agencies.

One of the Council’s most notable achievements this past year was the issuance of a Policy Statement providing guidance on the design and implementation of Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (ALLL) methodologies and supporting documentation practices. The Policy Statement was developed in consultation with the Securities and Exchange Commission. It emphasizes the banking agencies’ long-standing position that institutions should maintain the ALLL with documentation that is consistent with their stated policies and procedures, generally accepted accounting principles, and applicable supervisory guidance.

The Council and its task forces were involved in a number of other important initiatives this past year. The Supervision Task Force (STF) issued guidance on revisions to Article 9 of the Uniform Commercial Code (UCC). Article 9 of the UCC governs transactions involving the granting of credit secured by personal property. Its revision impacted financial institutions’ procedures, systems, documentation, and the enforceability of security interests.

The STF also issued interagency guidance on sound risk management practices for institutions engaged in leveraged financing. In addition, the STF released a joint advisory detailing the processes that financial institutions should have in place to manage the risks associated with a significant reliance on brokered and rate-sensitive deposits. Guidance was also issued addressing the risks and risk management controls necessary to authenticate the identity of customers accessing electronic financial services.

Finally, the STF endorsed the issuance of a joint interagency final rule that amends the agencies’ risk-based capital standards. The final rule became effective on January 1, 2002. It addresses the capital treatment that institutions must apply to recourse obligations, direct credit substitutes, and residual interests in asset securitizations.

The Reports Task Force began developing a proposal to update and modernize the current process for collecting, validating, and distributing Call Report data. The purpose is to improve the timeliness, quality, and usefulness of the data, both for the agencies and the public. Several working groups are assisting the Task Force in evaluating the costs and benefits of this proposal. The Task Force will present this information for the Council’s consideration in 2002.

The Information Sharing Task Force continues its efforts to enhance the efficiency of interagency data exchange and communication. A program was initiated to share electronic versions of reports of examination, inspection reports, and other communications with financial institutions. The member agencies also provide each other with access to their organizations’ structure, financial, and supervisory information. Bulk transfers of financial, supervisory and structure data over high-speed data lines were implemented in 2001 and are being initiated weekly.

The past year presented some difficult challenges to our nation and our industry, as we dealt with the after-
math of the tragic events of the terrorist attacks on September 11. The industry has weathered the initial economic aftershocks quite well.

Our task as regulators is to work together to advance our mission of promoting uniformity and consistency in the supervision of the financial institutions industry. Fortunately, our member agencies share the same goals, as well as a long-standing history of cooperation and achievement. The Council looks forward to many additional initiatives and achievements in the year ahead, and I look forward to serving as the Council’s Chairman in the coming year.
The Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (FFIEC) (the Council) was established on March 10, 1979, pursuant to title X of the Financial Institutions Regulatory and Interest Rate Control Act of 1978 (FIRA), Public Law 95-630. The purpose of title X, entitled the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council Act of 1978, was to create a formal interagency body empowered to prescribe uniform principles, standards, and report forms for the federal examination of financial institutions by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (FRB), the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC), the National Credit Union Administration (NCUA), the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC), and the Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS) and to make recommendations to promote uniformity in the supervision of financial institutions.

The Council was given additional statutory responsibilities by section 340 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1980, Public Law 96-399. Among these responsibilities are the implementation of a system to facilitate public access to data that depository institutions must disclose under the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act of 1975 (HMDA) and the aggregation of annual HMDA data, by census tract, for each metropolitan statistical area (MSA).

In 1989, title XI of FIRREA established the Appraisal Subcommittee (ASC) within the Council. The functions of the subcommittee are (1) monitoring the requirements, including a code of professional responsibility, established by states for the certification and licensing of individuals who are qualified to perform appraisals in connection with federally related transactions; (2) monitoring the appraisal standards established by the federal financial institutions regulatory agencies and the former Resolution Trust Corporation; (3) maintaining a national registry of appraisers who are certified and licensed by a state and therefore eligible to perform appraisals in connection with federally related transactions; and (4) monitoring the practices, procedures, activities, and organizational structure of the Appraisal Foundation, a nonprofit educational corporation established by the appraisal industry in the United States. The Appraisal Subcommittee is a separate entity of the FFIEC. Its annual report is separately transmitted to Congress, and therefore the work of the ASC is not included in this report. Because it is funded differently, uses appropriated funds, and reports its activities directly to Congress, the ASC finances are managed apart from the rest of the Council.

The Council has five members: the Comptroller of the Currency, the Chairman of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, a member of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System appointed by the Chairman of the Board, the Chairman of the Board of the National Credit Union Administration, and the Director of the Office of Thrift Supervision. In addition, to encourage the application of uniform examination principles and standards by the state and federal supervisory authorities, the Council has established, in accordance with the requirement of the statute, an advisory State Liaison Committee composed of five representatives of state supervisory agencies.
The following section is a chronological record of the official actions taken by the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council during 2001 pursuant to sections 1006, 1007, and 1009A of the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council Act of 1978, Public Law 95-630; section 304 of the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA), Public Law 94-200; and the Riegle Community Development and Regulatory Improvement Act of 1994 (RCDRIA), Public Law 103-325.

March 12
Action. Unanimously approved the appointment of six task force chairs.
Explanation. The chairs for all six standing task forces are approved annually and are drawn from management or senior staff of the five member agencies.

March 12
Action. Unanimously approved the annual external audit report.
Explanation. The Council is audited by an outside accounting firm annually. The audit report includes a review of the Council’s financial statements as well as a report on internal controls and compliance with government auditing standards.

March 28
Action. Unanimously approved the issuance of the annual interagency awards.
Explanation. The Council has an established interagency awards program that recognizes individual staff members of the member agencies who have provided outstanding service to the Council on interagency projects and programs during the previous year.

June 8
Action. The Council unanimously approved the allocation of expenses for examiner education.
Explanation. The Task Force on Examiner Education proposed a new method for allocating costs for examiner education that would be based on a proportionate share of fixed and variable costs. The previous method of allocating costs, used since 1987, was based on total costs on a flat, per-student basis.

December 3
Explanation. The Council approved the exploration of a method proposed by the Reports Task Force to improve Call Report data collection and processing. The stated goal is to reduce errors in the data that are currently being collected, as well as to shorten the agencies’ processing time.

December 3
Action. Unanimously approved the elimination of certain interagency training courses.
Explanation. The Council approved the recommendation of the Task
Force on Examiner Education to eliminate two interagency examiner training courses. The courses had become obsolete or irrelevant and no longer met the interagency training requirements of the member agencies.

**December 3**

*Action.* Unanimously approved the Council’s 2002 budget.

*Explanation.* The Council conducts a formal review of the proposed interagency annual budget based on the funding requests of the Council’s task forces and the Office of the Executive Secretary.

**Actions Taken by the Council’s Task Forces Under Delegated Authority**

**Task Force on Consumer Compliance**

- **January 11**—Approved for posting on the FFIEC web site a Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) data collection and reporting guide. The guide serves as a resource for information on CRA loan data collection, maintenance and reporting.
- **May 22**—Approved interagency examination procedures for the Privacy of Consumer Financial Information.
- **July 2**—Approved for publication in the Federal Register updated and revised “Interagency Questions and Answers Regarding Community Reinvestment.” The Q&As amend and supplement Q&As published on April 28, 2000.
- **November 8**—Approved interagency procedures for CRA sunshine examinations.
- **November 8**—Approved examiner guidance to accompany standardized CRA data tables for improved uniformity in CRA public evaluations.

**Task Force on Information Sharing**

- **June 30**—Completed the bulk transfer of insured banks’ Call Report data, Uniform Bank Performance Report (UBPR) data, and Statistical CAMELS Offsite Rating (SCOR) data from the FDIC to the OCC.
- **June 30**—Exchanged the OCC’s Examiner View database copies with the FDIC and the FRB.
- **September 30**—Expanded the quarterly structure data reconciliation to include branch office information.
- **December 15**—Completed the bulk transfer of Shared National Credits data from the FRB to the FDIC.

**Task Force on Reports**

- **February 26**—Approved for publication in the Federal Register a description of the changes being implemented in the Reports of Condition and Income (Call Report) on a phased-in schedule beginning March 31, 2001, and a summary of the comments received on the previously proposed revisions.
- **March 9**—Approved for publication in the Federal Register a description of the changes being implemented in the Report of Assets and Liabilities of U.S. Branches and Agencies of Foreign Banks (FFIEC 002) on a phased-in schedule beginning June 30, 2001, and a summary of the comments received on the previously proposed revisions.
- **July 10**—Approved the one-year contract extension proposal for Electronic Data Services Corporation (EDS) to collect electronic Call Report data.
- **August 28**—Approved for publication in the Federal Register a proposal to extend without revision the collection of the Report of Indebtedness of Executive Officers and Principal Shareholders and their Related Interests to Correspondent Banks (FFIEC 004).
- **October 9**—Approved for publication in the Federal Register the proposed revisions to the Call Report. The revisions are to be implemented as of March 31, 2002.

**Task Force on Supervision**

- **February 28**—Issued guidance on revisions to article 9 of the Uniform Commercial Code. Article 9 governs transactions involving the granting of credit secured by personal property and the sale of accounts and chattel paper.
- **April 9**—Issued interagency guidance concerning sound risk management practices for institutions engaged in leveraged financing.
- **May 10**—Issued a joint advisory on brokered deposits and rate-sensitive deposits that outlines the processes financial institutions should have to manage the risks of a significant reliance on those deposits.
- **June 26**—Approved the issuance of an interagency Policy Statement on Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses Methodologies and Documentation for Banks and Savings Associations. The statement was published in the Federal Register on July 6, 2001.
- **August 8**—Approved the issuance of guidance on authentication in an electronic banking environment. The guidance addresses the risks and risk-management controls necessary to authenticate the identity of customers who are accessing electronic financial services.
• **October 23**—Endorsed the issuance of a joint interagency final rule that amends the agencies’ risk-based capital standards. The final rule, effective January 1, 2002, addresses the capital treatment that institutions must apply to recourse obligations, direct credit substitutes, and residual interests in asset securitizations.

• **December 7**—Adopted changes to the interagency examination program for information technology service providers to make the program more efficient and risk-focused. The agencies will implement the changes on a pilot basis in 2002 and evaluate the results in 2004.

Task Force on Surveillance Systems

• **September 1**—Approved the distribution of the 2001 User’s Guide to all users, in both electronic and printed format. The new guide incorporates all changes made with the June and December 2001 UBPR reports, as well as any changes to be made with the March 2002 UBPR.
In section 1007 of Public Law 95-630, the Congress authorized the establishment of the State Liaison Committee (SLC) “to encourage the application of uniform examination principles and standards by state and federal supervisory agencies.” The SLC carries out this responsibility by assuming an active advisory role in all Council deliberations, especially when matters pertaining directly to joint state and federal regulatory concerns or jurisdictional overlaps are at issue. The primary objectives of the SLC are to foster communication and cooperation between state and federal supervisory authorities and to reduce redundant supervisory procedures.

The SLC believes that the Council can effectively coordinate activities among the federal agencies and between federal agencies and their state counterparts to economize on the combined state and federal resources devoted to the supervision and regulation of financial institutions. The Council provides the SLC with a staff position, which allows the SLC members to be fully informed of Council matters and to participate in all Council activities, including task force assignments and other projects.

Organization
The SLC consists of five representatives of state agencies that supervise financial institutions. The representatives are appointed for two-year terms. An SLC member may have his or her two-year term extended by the appointing organization for an additional, consecutive two-year term. Each year, the SLC elects one of its members to serve as chair for 12 months. The Council selects two of the five members. The American Council of State Savings Supervisors (ACSSS), the Conference of State Bank Supervisors (CSBS), and the National Association of State Credit Union Supervisors (NASCUS) designate the other three. A list of the SLC members appears on page 10 of this report.

Participation in Council Activities
In 2001, the State Liaison Committee actively participated in several key projects with a long-term effect on the financial industry. The SLC continues to participate with the Supervision Task Force, as a nonvoting member. As a result, communications between state and federal supervisors on core policy issues are greatly enhanced. Members also support continued efforts to automate examination reports.

SLC representatives worked with the Conference of State Bank Supervisors and a subcommittee of the FFIEC Supervision Task Force to develop a new Interagency Charter Application and Application for Deposit Insurance. The FDIC will use the application for deposit insurance decisions, and the participating state banking agencies, OCC, and OTS will use it for new charter applications.

SLC members recently supported the process modernization initiative for Call Reports. The Council is considering this initiative, which was proposed by a subgroup of the Reports Task Force.

State supervisors continue to participate in the Task Force on Information Sharing. This task force is charged with facilitating the sharing of electronic information, and improving how the agencies collect and share information. The SLC looks forward to the continued cooperation of state and federal regulators and is interested in expanding state participation in other areas of joint financial industry supervision.
Regular meetings of the Council are held quarterly. Special meetings may be scheduled whenever matters of high priority must be considered without delay.

The Council’s activities are funded in several ways. Most of the Council’s funds are derived from semiannual assessments on its five constituent agencies. The Council also receives reimbursement for the services it provides to support preparation of the quarterly Uniform Bank Performance Report (UBPR). It receives tuition fees from non-agency attendees to cover some of the costs associated with its examiner-education program.

The Federal Reserve Board provides budget and accounting services to the Council, and the Federal Reserve’s Associate Director for Management serves as the Council’s controller. The Council is supported by a small, full-time administrative staff in its operations office, and its examiner-education program is administered by Council staff at its examiner training facility in Arlington, Virginia. Each Council staff member is detailed from one of the five agencies represented on the Council but is considered an employee of the Council. All Council employees are in the Office of the Executive Secretary. The major responsibilities of the Office of the Executive Secretary are the following:

- scheduling Council meetings, preparing agendas for Council meetings, preparing minutes of Council meetings, and reviewing all material for Council consideration
- monitoring the work of all inter-agency staff groups involved in the Council’s activities and helping staff groups set priorities and define key issues
- undertaking special projects and studies as requested by the Council
- working closely with members of the State Liaison Committee to ensure adequate communication among the members, Council, and interagency staff groups
- coordinating public information activities, including preparation and distribution of Council press releases
- maintaining liaison with the Congress and with federal departments and agencies
- preparing the Council’s annual report to the Congress
- coordinating the production and distribution of the quarterly UBPR and related data
- coordinating the collection, production, and distribution of Home Mortgage Disclosure Act data
- managing the Council’s examiner-education program

Six interagency staff task forces and the Legal Advisory Group (LAG) provide most of the staff support in the substantive areas of concern to the Council. The task forces and the LAG are responsible for the research and other investigative work that agency staff members perform on behalf of the Council and for reports and policy recommendations prepared for Council consideration. In addition, the Council has established the Agency Liaison Group, an interagency group of senior officials responsible for coordinating the efforts of their respective agencies’ staff members in support of the Council. The Executive Secretary of the Council is an ex officio member of the six interagency staff task forces as well as the Agency Liaison Group. The staff time and other resources expended on Council-related projects in 2001 were provided by the five agencies without reimbursement and are not reflected in the Council budget or the accompanying financial statements. Without the contributions of the agencies and individual staff members, significant progress on Council projects during 2001 would have been impossible.
Organization, December 31, 2001
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Appraisal Subcommittee meeting.
ACTIVITIES OF THE INTERAGENCY STAFF GROUPS

Section 1006 of Public Law 95-630 sets forth the functions of the Council. Briefly summarized, these functions are the following:

- establish uniform principles, standards, and report forms for the examination of financial institutions and make recommendations for uniformity in other supervisory matters
- develop uniform reporting systems for federally supervised institutions, their holding companies, and subsidiaries of those institutions and holding companies
- conduct schools for examiners employed by the federal supervisory agencies and make those schools available to employees of state supervisory agencies under conditions specified by the Council

To effectively administer projects in all its functional areas, the Council established six interagency staff task forces, each of which includes one senior official from each agency:

- Consumer Compliance
- Examiner Education
- Information Sharing
- Reports
- Supervision
- Surveillance Systems

The Council also established the Legal Advisory Group, composed of a senior legal officer from each agency. The task forces and the Legal Advisory Group provide research and analytical papers and proposals on the issues that the Council addresses.

Task Force on Consumer Compliance

The Task Force on Consumer Compliance promotes policy coordination and uniform enforcement of laws and regulations created to protect consumers. The task force, composed of senior personnel from each of the five agencies represented on the Council, identifies and analyzes emerging consumer compliance issues and develops policies and procedures to foster consistency among the agencies. Additionally, the task force addresses legislation, regulations, or policies at the state and federal level that may have a direct or indirect bearing on the compliance responsibilities of the five agencies.

During 2001, the task force had five standing subcommittees to help promote its mission: Community Reinvestment Act, Electronic Banking, Examination Procedures, Fair Lending, and Home Mortgage Disclosure Act. Ad hoc working groups are also created to handle particular projects and assignments. The task force meets monthly to address and resolve common issues in compliance supervision. Significant issues are referred to the Council for action. However, the Council has delegated to the task force the authority to make certain decisions, such as approval of examination procedures.

Projects Completed in 2001

Community Reinvestment Act Questions and Answers. On July 12, 2001, the task force published in the Federal Register an updated and revised Interagency Questions and Answers Regarding the Community Reinvestment Act. The Q&A’s amended and supplemented the Q&As published on April 28, 2000. As with prior versions, the new Q&As incorporated all previously issued Q&As still in effect. In addition, the issuance added six new
Q&As addressing a variety of issues. Of particular note, the Q&As provided a new approach to determining whether qualified investments and community development loans or services benefit (1) an institution’s assessment area (or areas) or (2) a broader statewide or regional area that includes the institution’s assessment area (or areas) and, thus, can be considered favorably in its CRA assessment. This particular Q&A resolved an issue that had been proposed in two previous issuances of the Q&As.

Community Reinvestment Act Data Collection and Reporting Guide. Building on experience from the FFIEC’s Guide to HMDA Reporting, the task force, in 2001, approved and published on the FFIEC web site A Guide to CRA Data Collection and Reporting. The agencies developed the guide as a resource for financial institutions that are required to report CRA data. The CRA regulations require certain large institutions to annually report data on small business, small farm, and community development lending. The guide can be used as a resource for information on CRA loan data collection, maintenance, and reporting, which are important aspects of a large institution’s CRA performance.

Consistent interagency presentation of CRA data. The task force continued its work on implementation of standardized tables for CRA public evaluations (“CRA core tables”) approved in 2000. In 2001, the OCC, OTS, FRB, and FDIC all began to use the same software for production and presentation of data in CRA public evaluations. The agencies worked with a private vendor to complete programming of the core tables and in November approved examiner guidance and a data dictionary to accompany the tables.

Examination procedures for rule on disclosure and reporting of Community Reinvestment Act related agreements (sunshine requirements). On January 10, 2001, the FDIC, FRB, OCC, and OTS published in the Federal Register a joint final rule implementing the “CRA Sunshine” requirements of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (FDIA), which were enacted by the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act. The task force subsequently approved examination procedures for use in determining financial institutions’ compliance with the rule. The examination procedures focus on determining whether (1) an institution is knowledgeable of its responsibilities under the rule and (2) covered agreements and annual reports have been disclosed and filed as required by the regulation.

HMDA and CRA paperless submission and distribution. The task force completed the transition to paperless HMDA processing this year. For the first time, all HMDA and CRA reporting institutions submitted their data electronically (on CD-ROM or tape, or over the Internet), and all disclosure statements were distributed on CD-ROM to central depositories, financial institutions, and examiners. Among CRA and HMDA reporters, Internet e-mail has increasingly become the preferred medium for submitting data. Seventy percent of CRA reporters and 45 percent of HMDA reporters submitted their data by Internet e-mail. Upon request, data reports are available in paper format.

Privacy rule examination procedures. On June 1, 2000, the FDIC, OCC, OTS, and FRB published in the Federal Register a final rule on the privacy of consumers’ financial information. The NCUA published a separate own rule to account for the unique circumstances of federally-insured credit unions and their members, but the rule is comparable and consistent with the regulations of the other agencies. The rules took effect on November 13, 2000, but financial institutions had until July 1, 2001, to be in mandatory compliance with the regulations. The task force developed and approved, in May 2001, examination procedures to review supervised financial institutions for compliance with the regulation. The examination procedures, which are tailored to institutions’ information-sharing practices, can be used by financial institutions to understand how examiners assess compliance with the privacy regulation requirements. The task force also worked with the Council’s Task Force on Examiner Education to produce a CD-ROM for examiners. The CD-ROM, which contained reference and training materials on consumer privacy, has also been made available to financial institutions.

Current Projects

Mortgage reform working group. In 2001, the task force formed a mortgage reform working group to review and analyze mortgage-related issues that cut across agencies and to coordinate concerning mortgage-related initiatives arising from agencies outside of the FFIEC. The task force began review of the effect of a 7th Circuit Court decision relative to real estate settlement fees under the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act. The task force expects to issue guidance to agency examiners during the first quarter of 2002.

Electronic banking. In July 1998, the task force issued a policy statement on electronic banking. In 2001, the task force began updating the guidance in that policy statement to reflect the passage of the Electronic Signatures in Global and National Commerce Act and interim final rules for five consumer compliance regulations: B (Equal Credit Opportunity Act), E (Electronic Funds Transfers), M (Consumer Leasing Provision of Truth-in-Lending), Z (Truth in Lending), and DD (Truth in Savings). The Federal Reserve Board lifted the mandatory compliance date for these interim final rules in August 2001. The task force will complete this project once the rules become final.
2001 FFIEC Training by Agency and Sponsored—Actual

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Courses/Conferences</th>
<th>Number of Sessions</th>
<th>FDIC</th>
<th>OCC</th>
<th>OTS</th>
<th>NCUA</th>
<th>FRB</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advanced Anti-Money Laundering</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asset Management (formerly, Trust Conference)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital Markets</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash Flow Tax Return (Taxanalysis)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyberbanking</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emerging Issues</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Crimes Seminar (formerly, Advanced White Collar Crime)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructor Training</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Banking School</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Banking Conference</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Banking (self study)</td>
<td></td>
<td>56</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Systems Symposium</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information Systems &amp; Technology Conference</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real Estate Appraisal Review</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testifying</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web Banking &amp; Payment Systems Risks</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>390</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td><strong>43</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,398</strong></td>
<td><strong>231</strong></td>
<td><strong>180</strong></td>
<td><strong>143</strong></td>
<td><strong>642</strong></td>
<td><strong>93</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,687</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk Management Planning (Bankers)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>44</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,398</strong></td>
<td><strong>231</strong></td>
<td><strong>180</strong></td>
<td><strong>143</strong></td>
<td><strong>642</strong></td>
<td><strong>223</strong></td>
<td><strong>2,817</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2002 Initiatives

2002 Community Reinvestment Act regulation review. On July 19, 2001, the FDIC, FRB, OCC, and OTS published in the Federal Register an advance notice of proposed rulemaking (ANPR) regarding the CRA regulations. When revised CRA rules were published in 1995, the agencies committed to review the regulations five years after full implementation to ascertain whether the performance-based evaluation standards had minimized compliance burden and fostered improved performance. The ANPR marked the initial stage of the review. The public comment period on the ANPR ended October 17, 2001. In total, the agencies received nearly 400 comment letters from financial institutions, trade associations, community-based organizations and others. The agencies are analyzing the comments to determine whether, and to what extent, to change the CRA regulation. The task force expects to complete the review in 2002.

Task Force on Examiner Education

Charged with spearheading examiner education on behalf of the Council, the Task Force on Examiner Education promotes interagency education through timely, cost-efficient, state-of-the-art training programs for agency examiners. The task force develops programs on its own initiative or in response to requests from the Council or other Council task forces. Each fall, it develops a program calendar based on training demand from the five member agencies and state financial institution regulators. The task force also oversees the delivery and evaluation of programs throughout the year. During the past year, 2,687 regulatory staff attended training programs (see above table for details of participation by program and agency).

2001 Initiatives

Throughout the year, the task force continued its efforts on a more strategic approach to the design and delivery of programs, particularly ones that would be available quickly, or “just in time,” for examiner application and that could be delivered through electronic technology. Using the electronic architecture (InfoBase) implemented in 2001 to produce training materials in quick response to the issuance of interagency statements, the task force produced its second CD, this one on financial privacy. Several agencies decided to make copies available to their regulated institutions in addition to their examiner workforces. Late in the year, the task force began updating its first CD, on subprime lending, to
Task Force on Examiner Education meeting.

Another program initiative will be implemented in 2002. The task force is changing the content and format of the emerging issues conferences to make them more relevant and reach an extended audience. Instead of multiple conferences on community bank issues, and a single conference on large-bank topics, the task force is sponsoring three conferences on separate themes throughout the year. Each of the agencies is developing the content and securing the speakers on themes that will be attractive to all the agencies, and they will host them in different locations. Highlights of the conference material will then be made available to the larger examiner workforce in an electronic format. The task force gained Council approval to eliminate two programs (Introduction to Syndicated Lending, Cyberbanking Symposium). These programs were deemed no longer useful to examiner needs.

In October, the task force hosted a risk-management-planning conference for 130 industry officials in San Francisco.

Costs

The task force attempts to keep costs as low as possible. Whenever feasible, programs are held in member agencies’ facilities and draw on agency experts to present some or all of the content. The task force submitted a budget that was lower than the previous year’s budget. The task force also gained Council approval for a different method for computing the costs to each of the agencies. The new method will ensure a fairer allocation of costs among member agencies and non-agency participants.

Facilities

The Council training office and classrooms are located in the FDIC Seidman Center in Arlington, Virginia. Offices, classrooms, and lodging facilities are rented from the FDIC. This facility offers convenient access to a 100-seat auditorium, numerous classrooms, and a lodging facility. Regional sessions are usually conducted in the cities in which district or Reserve Bank offices of the member agencies are located.

Course Catalogue and Schedule

The 2002 course catalogue and schedule are available from the Council training office. To obtain a copy, contact:

Karen K. Smith, Manager
FFIEC Examiner Education Office
3501 Fairfax Drive, Room 3086
Arlington, VA 22226-3550
Phone: (703) 516-5588

Task Force on Information Sharing

Created in 1997, the Task Force on Information Sharing promotes the sharing of electronic information among the FFIEC agencies in support of the supervision, regulation, and deposit insurance responsibilities of financial institution regulators. The task force provides a
Task force members consist of representatives from the FFIEC agencies. Monthly meetings are held to address and resolve issues related to information sharing. The task force has established working groups to address technology-development issues and interagency reconciliations of data on financial institution structure.

Initiatives Addressed in 2001

Technology Issues
The chief initiative of the task force is to identify and implement technologies to make the sharing of interagency data more efficient. The technology working group meets monthly to develop technological solutions to common data-sharing issues among the agencies. The working group coordinates the automated transfer of data files among the agencies and suggests better and more efficient ways to share financial and supervisory data.

High-speed T1 communication lines linking the FDIC, FRB, and OCC have eliminated the use of magnetic tapes or disks for sharing electronic data among these agencies. Bulk data transfers to and from the FDIC, OCC, and FRB of structure, financial, and supervisory data files were implemented in 2001 and are initiated weekly.

Structure Data Reconciliation
The structure data reconciliation working group reconciles structure data about financial institutions regulated by the FFIEC agencies to ensure that the information the agencies report is consistent and accurate. The group conducts quarterly reconciliations to identify and resolve data discrepancies among the agencies. By the end of 2001, only a few data differences remained unresolved. In 2001, the project scope was expanded to include credit unions and branch office data. The task force is working to achieve the goal of a single, authoritative source of structure data for each member agency to use.

Call Report Modernization Process
Together with the Reports Task Force, the task force is working with other agencies’ staff to evaluate a possible replacement of the current processes for the collection, validation, and distribution of bank Call Report data. The key principles guiding this effort are improving data quality submitted by financial institutions, managing information centrally by replacing the current distributed data-management process with a Central Data Repository, making Call Report data available to institutions over the Internet as soon as it is validated, and developing a technical architecture to accommodate future enhancements. This process will continue through 2003.

Task Force on Reports
The law establishing the Council and defining its functions requires the Council to develop uniform reporting systems for federally supervised financial institutions and their holding companies and subsidiaries. To meet this objective, the Council established the Task Force on Reports. The task force is also involved in developing interagency uniformity in reporting the periodic information needed for effective supervision. The task force is thus concerned with issues such as the development and interpretation of reporting instructions, including responding to inquiries about the instructions from reporting institu-
Activities

As in past years, the task force’s principal activities during 2001 involved the Reports of Condition and Income (Call Reports) filed quarterly by insured commercial banks and FDIC-supervised savings banks. The task force’s work on the Call Report also affected the quarterly regulatory report for U.S. branches and agencies of foreign banks, as well as the Council’s two reports on fiduciary activities.

The task force completed a substantial revision of the Call Report that was implemented in stages during 2001, following approval of the revision by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget under the Paperwork Reduction Act. While most of the changes in reporting requirements were effective March 31, 2001, the collection of new data on securitizations and asset sales was deferred until June 30, 2001. In addition, the filing of the Call Report’s new schedule on fiduciary activities did not begin until December 31, 2001. This streamlined fiduciary activities schedule replaced the Council’s two separate and more detailed trust activities reports (the FFIEC 001, Annual Report of Trust Assets, and the FFIEC 006, Annual Report of International Fiduciary Activities).

The OTS is incorporating the same fiduciary activities schedule into its Thrift Financial Report effective March 31, 2002. As a result, the task force has discontinued the two previous trust reports. The principal Call Report revisions in the first quarter of 2001 included:

- combining the three separate report forms for banks of different sizes that have only domestic offices (the former FFIEC 032, 033, and 034 report forms) into a single form (designated the FFIEC 041), while retaining the separate form for banks with foreign offices (the FFIEC 031 report form);
- eliminating data items that were no longer warranted, and reducing the amount of detail required in certain schedules;
- introducing a revised regulatory capital schedule that uses a step-by-step “building-block” approach to compute the key elements of the capital ratios for all banks;
- adopting uniform loan category definitions based on the standard loan category definitions found in the Call Report loan schedule, to be used by all banks across all schedules that collect loan information;
- collecting new information on Federal Home Loan Bank advances and on noninterest income earned from asset sales and certain nontraditional bank activities; and
- eliminating the confidential treatment for loans, leases, and other assets that are reported by individual banks as past due 30 through 89 days and still accruing. (Data on assets that are in nonaccrual status or that are past due 90 days or more and still accruing have been publicly available for many years.)

The final stage of these phased-in reporting changes will take place on March 31, 2002, when banks with less than $25 million in total assets begin to report loan income and loan averages by loan category. On that same date, banks with substantial trust activities will start to provide in their quarterly Call Reports information on their trust assets and accounts and on their fiduciary income.

The task force updated the entire Call Report instruction book to reflect the effect of the above reporting changes and recently issued accounting standards. Other conforming changes and clarifications to the instructions were also made. The updated instruction book, including a revised index, was distributed to banks in two installments in March and June 2001.

In 2001, the task force also implemented a number of revisions to the FFIEC 002, Report of Assets and Liabilities of U.S. Branches and Agencies for Foreign Banks. Patterned after the revisions to the Call Report, these changes included a streamlining of existing reporting requirements for branches and agencies, a new schedule on securitization and asset-sale activities, and a new annual schedule on fiduciary activities. In addition, the information that branches and agencies report on loans, leases, and other assets that are past due, in nonaccrual status, or restructured, which had been confidential, became publicly available. These changes were effective June 30, 2001, except for the annual fiduciary activities schedule, which was effective December 31, 2001. The updated instruction book for the FFIEC 002 was issued in two installments in June and September 2001.

On October 9, 2001, the task force, acting under delegated authority, approved several proposed revisions to the Call Report that would be effective March 31, 2002. The principal changes include:

- reporting federal funds transactions separately from securities resale or repurchase agreements on the balance sheet;
• adding new items for merchant credit card sales volume, the fair value of credit derivatives, and past-due and nonaccrual loans and leases that are held for sale;
• splitting the existing items for past-due and nonaccrual closed-end single-family residential mortgages, and for charge-offs and recoveries of these loans, into separate items for first liens and junior liens;
• modifying how banks report their estimated uninsured deposits; and
• reporting goodwill impairment losses separately from the amortization expense of and impairment losses on other intangible assets, in response to a new accounting standard.

These proposed reporting changes were published for public comment in the Federal Register on October 18, 2001. After making modest adjustments to the proposed changes for 2002 in response to the comments received, the task force approved the revisions in January 2002 and notified banks about them. In July 2001, the task force arranged, on behalf of the Council, for the issuance of a Request for Information (RFI) to Call Report software vendors and other interested parties. The RFI sought innovative ideas for enhancing the current Call Report collection and validation process. At the same time, the task force established a working group charged with developing a set of data standards, referred to as a taxonomy, for all of the items collected in the Call Report. The data standards are being designed to facilitate their conversion into an Extensible Markup Language/Extensible Business Reporting Language (XML/XBRL) taxonomy.

After evaluating comments received on the Council’s RFI, several additional working groups were established. These working groups are responsible for laying the groundwork for a Request for Proposal (RFP) in 2002 for a proposed/possible new Call Report business model to replace the current model. The intent of the new model is to modernize the collection, management, storage, and availability of Call Report information. The working groups are determining the specific features of this new collection, validation, and distribution process to recommend for task force consideration and, ultimately, Council approval. The identification of these specific features is essential to a clear description of the statement of work in the RFP.

The four key principles guiding the above effort include improving the quality of data submitted by financial institutions; managing the process through a central data repository; publishing, upon validation, bank Call Report data on the Internet; and developing an open technical architecture to accommodate future enhancements. The task force briefed the Council on this important initiative at its December 2001 meeting. The Council supported additional efforts by the task force to develop a comprehensive analysis of the proposed new business model. The task force expects to return to the Council in 2002 with the business analysis and to seek Council approval to proceed with the preparation and issuance of an RFP on the proposed new model.

Task Force on Supervision
The Task Force on Supervision has oversight responsibility for matters relating to the supervision and examination of depository institutions. It provides a forum for the agencies that supervise banks, thrifts, and credit unions to promote quality, consistency, and effectiveness of examination and supervisory practices and to minimize regulatory burden. While significant issues are referred, with recommendations, to the Council for action, the Council has delegated to the task force the authority to make certain decisions and recommendations, provided all task force members agree. Task force members are the senior supervisory officials of the constituent agencies. Meetings are held regularly to address and resolve common supervisory issues. The task force has a standing subcommittee to address information system and technology issues as they relate to financial institution supervision. Another task force subcommittee reviews issues pertaining to regulatory capital. In addition, ad hoc working groups are created to handle particular projects and assignments.

Activities
Asset Securitization
Through the Task Force on Supervision, the FFIEC agencies worked together to coordinate their regulatory and supervisory approach to the asset securitization practices of the institutions they supervise. In November 2001, the federal banking agencies published a final rule, effective January 1, 2002, on the capital treatment of recourse obligations, direct credit substitutes, and residual interests in asset securitizations. The rule is intended to result in (1) more consistent treatment among the agencies for similar transactions, (2) more consistent regulatory capital treatment for certain transactions involving similar risks, and (3) capital requirements that more closely reflect a banking organization’s exposure to risk. In 2002, the agencies plan to more comprehensively assess the risk the securitization process poses to a selling banking organization, including the risks arising from early-amortization features, implicit recourse arrangements, and non-credit risks.

Subprime Lending
On January 31, 2001, the federal banking agencies jointly issued Guidance for Subprime Lending
Programs. The new guidance builds on subprime lending guidance the federal banking agencies issued in March 1999. It is intended to strengthen the examination and supervision of institutions with significant subprime lending programs. Major issues addressed in the guidance include the adequacy of allowances for loan and lease losses and of capital to support these programs, as well as loan review and classification. A key underlying principle is that each subprime lender is responsible for quantifying the additional risks in its subprime lending activities and determining the appropriate amounts of allowances for loan and lease losses and of capital to offset those risks.

The guidance also addresses the need for expanded examiner review of subprime portfolios, the classification of subprime loans, and the need to establish prudent re-aging policies, and it provides cautionary guidance against predatory lenders. The agencies are working to ensure that supervisory staff across the agencies apply the guidance consistently. In 2002, the agencies will continue to coordinate their efforts in this area.

Capital Standards
Although each of the four federal banking agencies has its own capital regulations, the task force and several of its working groups coordinate efforts among the agencies to promote the joint issuance of capital rules, thereby minimizing inter-agency differences and reducing the potential burden on the banking industry. During 2001, as noted under the discussion of asset securitization activities, the federal banking agencies issued a final rule that amends their risk-based capital standards for these activities. In early 2002, the agencies intend to publish a joint final rule that would reduce the risk weight applied to claims on, and claims guaranteed by, qualifying securities firms incorporated in countries that are members of the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development.

Leveraged Financing
On April 9, 2001, the federal banking agencies issued guidance on sound risk management practices for institutions engaged in leveraged financing. The guidance highlights the need for comprehensive credit analysis processes, frequent monitoring, and detailed portfolio reports to better understand and manage the inherent risk in leveraged finance portfolios. Many leveraged transactions are underwritten with reliance on the imputed value of a business (“enterprise value”), which is often highly volatile. The guidance stresses the importance of sound valuation methodologies and ongoing stress testing and monitoring of enterprise values. It also provides guidance about risk rating leveraged finance loans and how enterprise value should be evaluated in the risk rating process.

Brooked and Rate-Sensitive Deposits
On May 10, 2001, the federal banking agencies issued a joint agency advisory on brokered and rate-sensitive deposits. The purpose of the advisory was to remind bankers and examiners of the potential risks associated with relying heavily on brokered and other highly rate-sensitive deposits, such as those obtained through the Internet, certificate of deposit listing services, and similar advertising programs. Without proper monitoring and management, these deposits may be an unstable source of funding for an institution. The advisory outlines prudent risk identification and management for rate-sensitive deposits.

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses (ALLL)
In June 2001, the task force approved for issuance the FFIEC Policy Statement on Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses Methodologies and Documentation for Banks and Savings Institutions. Developed in consultation with the Securities and Exchange Commission, the policy statement was issued by the FFIEC agencies on July 6, 2001. The statement:

- clarifies that the board of directors of each institution is responsible for ensuring that controls are in place to determine the appropriate level of the ALLL;
• states that the ALLL process must be thorough, disciplined, and consistently applied, and must incorporate management’s current judgments about the credit quality of the loan portfolio;

• emphasizes the banking agencies’ long-standing position that institutions should maintain and support the ALLL with documentation that is consistent with their stated policies and procedures, generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), and applicable supervisory guidance; and

• provides guidance on maintaining and documenting policies and procedures that are appropriately tailored to the size and complexity of the institution and its loan portfolio.

Illustrations that institutions may find useful for enhancing their own ALL processes are included, as well as an appendix that provides examples of certain key aspects of ALL guidance and a summary of applicable GAAP guidance.

Information Technology

The task force’s subcommittee on information technology meets monthly to discuss and coordinate interagency policies, technology-related examination issues, and interagency examination procedures, and to provide interagency training in information technology.

In 2001, as a result of the subcommittee’s work, the FFIEC agencies adopted changes to the interagency examination program for information technology service providers to make the program more efficient and risk-focused. The FFIEC agencies will implement the changes on a pilot basis in 2002 and evaluate the results in 2004.

In March 2001, the FFIEC hosted an information technology symposium. Through the symposium, the agencies developed new guidance on the risks associated with customer authentication and on the risk management controls necessary for institutions to authenticate the identity of customers who are accessing electronic financial services. The FFIEC agencies published the guidance in August 2001.

In 2002, the task force’s information technology subcommittee will continue to coordinate the development of revisions to the interagency examination procedures for information technology. The FFIEC agencies also plan to hold an information systems training conference for staff to promote consistency across the agencies in the examination and supervision of institutions.

Task Force on Surveillance Systems

The Task Force on Surveillance Systems oversees the development and implementation of uniform interagency surveillance and monitoring systems. The task force’s primary objective has been to develop and produce the Uniform Bank Performance Report (UBPR). This report is an analytical tool created for supervisory purposes. It is used to monitor the condition and performance of banking institutions on a quarterly basis and to identify potential or emerging problems in those financial institutions. A UBPR is produced quarterly for each commercial bank and insured savings bank in the United States that is supervised by the FRB, FDIC, or OCC.

During 2001, the Surveillance Task Force and Surveillance Working Group completed the following projects:

• Modified numerous ratios in the UBPR to take advantage of the consolidation of Call Report forms effective in March 2001. As a consequence, all financial information in the UBPR is now comparable among all banks.

• Added several new ratios to the UBPR to enhance the analysis of yields, interest income, mortgage servicing, and capital. Added 30 to 89 day loan delinquency data to the public on-line version of the UBPR.

• Expanded the peer group analysis in the UBPR by establishing a separate peer group for bankers’ banks.

• Enhanced the performance of the UBPR public web site. UBPR information for any bank in the country is now available on-line within 30 days of the quarterly Call Report due date. The response time and formatting of UBPR pages for printing was improved. The on-line UBPR continues to be widely used. All UBPR data, including five years of history and peer group comparisons, are available for viewing, printing, and downloading at www.ffiec.gov.

• Notified all users that the FFIEC plans to substitute paper delivery of the UBPR and related reports with electronic delivery through the FFIEC web site in the first quarter of 2002. The on-line version of the UBPR will continue to be available at no charge to all banks, supervisors, and the general public.

• Developed several new pages to enhance the analysis of banks involved in securitization and fiduciary activities. This new information will be available with the December 31, 2001 UBPR.

• Produced and distributed four quarterly versions of the UBPR. The federal banking agencies each received UBPR data in electronic format. State banking agencies were provided two sets of printed UBPRs for banks in their respective states. Additionally, printed UBPRs were sent to all banks. Several thousand copies of individual bank UBPRs were provided to the general public.

• Updated and distributed the UBPR User’s Guide. The guide and related information are available at www.ffiec.gov.
Several projects are planned for 2002:

- Produce and distribute four versions of the UBPR.
- Revise the UBPR to incorporate changes in the March 2002 Call Report. Review the analysis of noninterest income and expense.
- Review UBPR treatment of banks that have elected subchapter S status for taxes and those involved in mergers.
- Revise the UBPR User’s Guide to incorporate changes planned for 2002. Distribute to all users through the FFIEC web site.
- Continue to develop the public UBPR web site. Possible enhancements for 2002 include improved peer group analysis and additional reports. The task force will consider limited, on-line, custom peer group analysis.
- Analyze and possibly revise current peer groupings in the UBPR. Possible new peer groups could include line of business (trust company, agricultural, real estate), as well as changed asset groupings and revised treatment of de novo banks.
- Substitute paper delivery of the UBPR and related reports with the on-line version of the UBPR in the first quarter of 2002.
- Two copies of the UBPRs will be made available to state bank supervisors for banks in their state. Alternatively, the printed reports may be requested in tape form.
- State banking agencies may also purchase UBPR data files in electronic form.
- Adjust the UBPR to reflect changed regulatory capital definitions.

While the UBPR is primarily a supervisory tool for the three federal banking agencies, it is also used by others. Copies of the UBPR are routinely distributed to banks and state banking agencies as described below in the distribution policy. In addition to the numerous individuals who obtain UBPRs on-line and in printed form, several companies purchase UBPR data in bulk format. A major goal of the task force is to ensure timely production and distribution of UBPRs and related data. The following distribution policy will continue:

- The UBPR, User’s Guide, and related reports will be available to all banks, supervisors, and the general public at no charge through the public web site at www.ffiec.gov.
- UBPR data will be provided to each federal banking agency each quarter.
- UBPRs and Call Report data will continue to be available in printed format for a fee.

The general public may purchase printed copies of UBPRs for $45. A User’s Guide, which describes the content of the report and defines ratio calculations, is available for $25. The Peer Group Report, showing average ratios for all peer groups, is available for $65. The State Average Report is available for $45. Peer Group and State Average Percentile Distribution Reports are available for $65 and $45, respectively.

Standardized UBPR quarterly data on magnetic tape are available for $400. Information on ordering items may be obtained by calling (202) 872-7500, e-mailing to SMULLENJ@FRB.GOV, or writing the Council:

Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council
2000 K Street, NW, Suite 310
Washington, DC 20006
The five federal regulatory agencies represented on the Council have primary federal supervisory jurisdiction over 20,218 domestically chartered banks, thrift institutions, and credit unions. On June 30, 2001, these financial institutions held total assets of more than $12.8 trillion. The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (FRB) and the Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS) also have primary federal supervisory responsibility for commercial bank holding companies and for savings and loan holding companies, respectively.

The three banking agencies on the Council have authority to oversee the operations of U.S. branches and agencies of foreign banks. The International Banking Act of 1978 (IBA) authorizes the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) to license federal branches and agencies of foreign banks and permits U.S. branches that accept only wholesale deposits to apply for insurance with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). According to the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 (FDICIA), foreign banks that wish to operate insured entities in the United States and accept retail deposits must organize under separate U.S. charters. Existing insured retail branches may continue to operate as branches. The IBA also subjects those U.S. offices of foreign banks to many provisions of the Federal Reserve Act and the Bank Holding Company Act. The IBA gives primary examining authority to the OCC, the FDIC, and various state authorities for the offices within their jurisdictions, and gives the FRB residual examining authority over all U.S. banking operations of foreign banks.

**Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (FRB)**

The FRB was established in 1913. It is headed by a seven-member Board of Governors. Each member is appointed by the President, with the advice and consent of the Senate, for a 14-year term. Subject to confirmation by the Senate, the President selects two Board members to serve four-year terms as Chairman and Vice Chairman. The FRB’s activities that are most relevant to the work of the Council are:

- examining, supervising, and regulating state member banks; bank holding companies; Edge Act and agreement corporations; and in conjunction with the licensing authorities, the U.S. offices of foreign banks and
- approving or denying applications for mergers, acquisitions, and changes in control by state member banks and bank holding companies, applications for foreign operations of member banks and Edge Act and agreement corporations, and applications by foreign banks to establish or acquire U.S. banks and to establish U.S. branches, agencies, or representative offices.

Policy decisions are implemented by the FRB and the 12 Federal Reserve Banks, each of which has operational responsibility within a specific geographical area. Each Reserve Bank has a president and other officers. Among other responsibilities, a Reserve Bank employs a staff of bank examiners, who examine state member banks and Edge Act and agreement corporations, inspect bank holding companies, and examine the offices of foreign banks located within the Reserve Bank’s District.

National banks, which must be members of the Federal Reserve System, are chartered, regulated, and supervised by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency. State-chartered banks may apply to and be accepted for membership in the Federal Reserve System, after which they are subject to the supervision and regulation of the Federal Reserve. Insured state-chartered banks that are not members of the Federal Reserve System are regulated and supervised by the FDIC. The Federal Reserve has overall responsibility for foreign banking operations, including both U.S. banks operating abroad and foreign banks operating branches in the United States.

The Federal Reserve covers the expenses of its operations with revenue it generates principally from interest received on Treasury and federal-agency securities held as assets by the Reserve Banks. The funding for these investments is derived partially from non-interest-earning reserves that member banks and other depository institutions are required to hold at the Reserve Banks and partially from non-interest-bearing Federal Reserve notes (currency) issued by the Reserve Banks. The Reserve Banks pay assessments to the FRB, which it uses to meet expenses. Excess revenues are turned over to the Treasury general fund and categorized as interest on Federal Reserve notes.

**Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC)**

The Congress created the FDIC in 1933 with a mission to insure bank deposits and reduce the economic disruptions caused by bank failures. Management of the FDIC is vested
in a five-member Board of Directors. Three of the directors are directly appointed by the President, with the advice and consent of the Senate, for six-year terms. One of the three directors is designated by the President as Chairman for a term of five years, and another is designated as Vice Chairman. The other two Board members are the Comptroller of the Currency and the Director of the Office of Thrift Supervision. No more than three board members may be of the same political party.

The FDIC’s supervisory activities are conducted by the Division of Supervision (DOS) and the Division of Compliance and Consumer Affairs (DCCA). Each of these divisions is organized into eight regional offices headed by a DOS regional director and a DCA regional director. Bank liquidation activities are handled by the Division of Resolutions and Receiverships.

The FDIC administers two federal deposit insurance funds: the Bank Insurance Fund (BIF) and the Savings Association Insurance Fund (SAIF). The basic insured amount for a depositor is $100,000 at each insured depository institution. The BIF is funded through assessments paid by insured commercial banks, certain federal and state savings banks, and industrial banks, as well as through income from investments in U.S. government securities. The SAIF, which was created in 1989 as a successor to the former Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation (FSLIC), receives assessment premiums from insured savings associations. SAIF assessment rates and BIF assessment rates are currently the same—ranging from 0 to 27 cents for every $100 of assessable deposits, depending on the degree of risk to the respective deposit insurance fund.

Any depository institution that receives deposits may be insured by the FDIC after it applies to and is examined and approved by the FDIC. After considering the (1) applicant’s financial history and condition, (2) adequacy of the capital structure, (3) future earnings prospects, (4) general character of the management, (5) risk presented to the insurance fund, (6) convenience and needs of the community to be served, and (7) consistency of corporate powers, the FDIC may approve or deny an application for deposit insurance. FDICIA expanded the FDIC’s approval authority to include national banks, all state-chartered banks that are members of the Federal Reserve System, and federal and state-chartered savings associations.

The FDIC has primary federal regulatory and supervisory authority over insured state-chartered banks that are not members of the Federal Reserve System, and it has the authority to examine for insurance purposes any insured financial institution, either directly or in cooperation with state or other federal supervisory authorities. FDICIA gives the FDIC back-up enforcement authority over all insured institutions; that is, the FDIC can recommend that the appropriate federal agency take action against an insured institution and may do so itself if deemed necessary.

In protecting insured deposits, the FDIC is charged with resolving the problems of insured depository institutions at the least possible cost to the deposit insurance fund. In carrying out this responsibility, the FDIC engages in several activities, including paying off deposits, arranging the purchase of assets and assumption of liabilities of failed institutions, effecting insured deposit transfers between institutions, creating and operating temporary bridge banks until a resolution can be accomplished, and using its conservatorship powers.

Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC)

The OCC is the oldest federal bank regulatory agency, established as a bureau of the Treasury Department by the National Currency Act of 1863. It is headed by the Comptroller of the Currency, who is appointed to a five-year term by the President with the advice and consent of the Senate. The Comptroller also serves as a Director of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation and a Director of the Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation.

National Credit Union Administration (NCUA)

The NCUA, established by an act of Congress in 1934, is the agency that heads the nation’s federal credit union system. A three-member bipartisan board appointed by the President for six-year terms manages the NCUA. The President also selects a member to serve as Chair of the board.

The main responsibilities of the NCUA are the following:

- charters, examines, and supervises more than 6,100 federal credit unions nationwide
- administers the National Credit Union Share Insurance Fund (NCUSIF), which insures member share accounts in nearly 10,000 U.S. federal and state-chartered credit unions
- manages the Central Liquidity Facility, a central bank for credit unions, which provides liquidity to the credit union system.

The NCUA also has statutory authority to examine and supervise NCUSIF-insured, state-chartered credit unions in coordination with state agencies.

The NCUA has six regional offices across the United States that administer its responsibility to charter and supervise credit unions. Its examiners conduct on-site examinations and supervision of each federal credit union and selected state-chartered credit unions. The NCUA is funded by the credit unions it regulates and insures.
The OCC charters, regulates, and supervises national banks to ensure a safe, sound, and competitive national banking system that supports the citizens, communities, and economy of the United States. As such, it currently regulates and supervises more than 2,200 national banks and 56 federal branches and agencies of foreign banks in the United States, accounting for more than 55 percent of the total assets of all U.S. commercial banks.

The OCC helps to ensure that national banks soundly manage their risks, compete effectively with other providers of financial services, offer products and services that meet the needs of customers, comply with applicable laws, and provide fair access to financial services and fair treatment of their customers through certain mission-critical core processes that include the following:

- licensing national banks and issuing interpretations related to permissible banking activities
- establishing and communicating regulations, policies, and operating guidance applicable to national banks
- supervising the national banking system through on-site examinations, off-site monitoring, and appropriate enforcement activities
- addressing systemic risks and market trends by identifying, analyzing, and responding to emerging risks that could have an impact on the safety and soundness of national banks or the national banking system.

To meet its objectives, the OCC maintains a nationwide staff of bank examiners and other professional and support personnel. Headquartered in Washington, D.C., the OCC has six district offices in New York, Atlanta, Chicago, Kansas City, Dallas, and San Francisco. In addition to the district office sites, the OCC maintains a network of 63 field offices in cities throughout the United States, and well as resident examiner teams in large national banks and an examining office in London, England.

The Comptroller receives advice on policy and operational issues from the Executive Committee which consists of the First Senior Deputy Comptroller and Chief Counsel; the Chief of Staff; the Chief National Bank Examiner; the Ombudsman; the Chief Information Officer; and senior deputy comptrollers for Mid-Size/Community Bank Supervision, Large Bank Supervision, International and Economic Affairs, Management and Chief Financial Officer, and Public Affairs.

The OCC is funded by semiannual assessments on national banks and from various licensing fees.

Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS)

The OTS was established as a bureau of the Treasury Department on August 9, 1989. The OTS has the authority to charter federal thrift institutions. It is the primary regulator of all federal and many state-chartered thrifts.

The mission of the OTS is to:

- effectively and efficiently supervise thrift institutions;
- maintain the safety, soundness, and viability of the industry; and
- encourage a competitive industry to meet America’s housing, community credit, and financial services needs and to provide access to financial services for all Americans.

The OTS carries out its mission by (1) adopting regulations governing the thrift industry, (2) examining and supervising thrift institutions and their affiliates, (3) taking appropriate action to enforce compliance with federal laws and regulations, and (4) acting on applications to charter or acquire a savings association. The OTS also has the authority to regulate, examine, and supervise savings and loan holding companies.

The OTS is headed by a Director who is appointed by the President, with the advice and consent of the Senate, to serve a five-year term. The Director determines policy for the OTS and makes final decisions on regulations governing the industry as a whole and on measures affecting individual institutions. The Director also serves as a member of the board of the FDIC and the Neighborhood Reinvestment Corporation.

The Deputy Director of the OTS assists the Director in managing various agency programs. While the emphasis is on regulatory issues, the Deputy Director is responsible for the five regional offices; the Office of Supervision; the Office of Compliance Policy; Research and Information Systems; Human Resources and Professional Development; Procurement and Administrative Services; and Planning, Budget and Finance. The Deputy Director is responsible for the supervisory and day-to-day operations of the agency. Major responsibilities include (1) the supervision and examination of OTS-regulated thrifts to ensure the safety and soundness of the industry; (2) trust activities; (3) information technology; (4) compliance with consumer protection, Community Reinvestment Act, and fair lending requirements; (5) the development of policies affecting those functions; (6) applications of all types, and (7) the agency’s accounting policies.

The Office of Research and Information Systems is responsible for both traditional information systems and financial data and modeling systems.

The other major functions of the OTS are as follows:

- The Chief Counsel oversees the legal activities of the agency. This responsibility includes representing the OTS on pending litigation; preparing the record for final agency action in accordance with
legal requirements; pursuing enforcement actions relating to thrift institutions; providing legal advice and opinions; and drafting support on regulatory projects, statutes, and regulations.

- Congressional Affairs and Press Relations interact with members of the Congress as well as with executives of other federal agencies to accomplish the legislative and regulatory objectives of the OTS. They convene press conferences; distribute news releases; and communicate and explain policy directives, objectives, and actions of the agency to the Congress, the press, the thrift industry, other government agencies, and employees.

The OTS’s five geographic regional offices are located in Jersey City, NJ; Atlanta, GA; Chicago, IL; Dallas, TX; and San Francisco, CA. The OTS uses no tax money to fund its operations. Its expenses are funded through fees and assessments levied on the institutions it regulates.
ASSETS, LIABILITIES, AND NET WORTH of U.S. Commercial Banks, Thrift Institutions and Credit Unions as of June 30, 2001
Billions of dollars

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>National</th>
<th>State Member</th>
<th>State Non-Member</th>
<th>U.S. Branches and Agencies of Foreign Banks</th>
<th>Thrift Institutions</th>
<th>OTS-Regulated</th>
<th>Other FDIC-Insured Savings Banks</th>
<th>Credit Unions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>9079</td>
<td>3,420</td>
<td>1,694</td>
<td>1,219</td>
<td>993</td>
<td>946</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>263</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total loans and lease receivables (net)</td>
<td>5260</td>
<td>2,191</td>
<td>819</td>
<td>759</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loans secured by real estate</td>
<td>2627</td>
<td>935</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>441</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer loans</td>
<td>838</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial and industrial loans</td>
<td>1,280</td>
<td>632</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All other loans and lease receivables</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LESS: Allowance for possible loan and lease losses</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell</td>
<td>443</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash and due from depository institutions</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Securities and other obligations</td>
<td>1,504</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U.S. government obligations</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obligations of state and local governments</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other securities</td>
<td>848</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other assets</td>
<td>1,298</td>
<td>412</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liabilities</td>
<td>8,364</td>
<td>3,117</td>
<td>1,563</td>
<td>1101</td>
<td>993</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>233</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total deposits and shares</td>
<td>5,968</td>
<td>2,285</td>
<td>1,037</td>
<td>922</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>546</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase</td>
<td>762</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other borrowings</td>
<td>998</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other liabilities</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>196</td>
<td>178</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net worth</td>
<td>20,218</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Memorandum: Number of institutions reporting
20,218 | 2,172 | 975 | 5,023 | 334 | 904 | 145 | 520 | 6,230 |

Symbols Appearing in Tables
* = Less than $500 million
‡ = Not applicable

Footnotes to Tables
1. The table covers institutions, including those in Puerto Rico and U.S. territories and possessions, insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or National Credit Union Savings Insurance Fund. All branches and agencies of foreign banks in the United States, but excluding any in Puerto Rico and U.S. territories and possessions, are covered whether or not insured. The table excludes Edge Act and agreement corporations that are not subsidiaries of U.S. commercial banks.
3. The credit union data are for federally-insured credit unions only.
4. Loans secured by residential property, commercial property, farmland (including improvements), and unimproved land; and construction loans secured by real estate. For SAIF-insured institutions, also includes mortgage-backed securities.
5. Loans, except those secured by real estate, to individuals for household, family, and other personal expenditures, including both installment and single-payment loans. Net of unearned income on installment loans.
6. Loans to financial institutions, loans for purchasing or carrying securities, loans to finance agricultural production and other loans to farmers (except loans secured by real estate), loans to states and political subdivisions and public authorities, and miscellaneous types of loans.
7. Vault cash, cash items in process of collection, and balances with U.S. and foreign banks and other depository institutions, including demand and time deposits and certificates of deposit for all categories of institutions. SAIF-insured institutions data are for cash and...

Notes continue on the next page
Operating income 714 331 139 106 76 22 21 21 17  
Interest and fees on loans 410 185 66 67 51 14 14 14 12  
Other interest and dividend income 135 53 35 20 15 * 5 4 3  
All other operating income 167 93 38 19 10 * 2 3 2  
Operating expenses 584 270 111 86 65 1 18 18 15  
Salaries and benefits 108 48 24 16 9 * 4 4 3  
Interest on deposits and shares 199 81 37 33 23 1 8 9 7  
Interest on other borrowed money 93 42 20 9 19 * 3 0 0  
Provision for loan and lease losses 34 20 4 6 2 * * 1 1  
All other operating expenses 149 79 26 21 12 * 3 4 4  
Net operating income 128 61 28 20 11 * 4 2 2  
Securities gains and losses 2 0 0 0 2 * * 0 0  
Income taxes 43 22 9 6 5 * 1 ‡ ‡  
Net income 87 39 19 14 8 * 3 2 2  

Memorandum: Number of institutions reporting 19,884 2,172 975 5,023 904 145 520 6,230 3,915  

Demand deposits only; time deposits are included in “Other securities.”  
8. Government and corporate securities, including mortgage-backed securities and obligations of states and political subdivisions and of U.S. government agencies and corporations. For SAIF-insured institutions, also includes time deposits and excludes mortgage-backed securities.  
10. Securities issued by states and political subdivisions and public authorities, except for savings and loan associations and U.S. branches and agencies of foreign banks that do not report these securities separately. Loans to states and political subdivisions and public authorities are included in “All other loans and lease receivables.”  
11. Customers’ liabilities on acceptances, real property owned, various accrual accounts, and miscellaneous assets. For U.S. branches and agencies of foreign banks, also includes net due from head office and other related institutions. For SAIF-insured institutions, also includes equity investment in service corporation subsidiaries.  
12. Demand, savings, and time deposits, including certificates of deposit at commercial banks, U.S. branches and agencies of foreign banks, and savings banks; credit balances at U.S. agencies of foreign banks; and share balances at savings and loan associations and credit unions, including certificates of deposit, NOW accounts, and share draft accounts. For U.S. commercial banks, includes deposits in foreign offices, branches in U.S. territories and possessions, and Edge act and agreement corporation subsidiaries.  
13. Interest-bearing demand notes issued to the U.S. Treasury, borrowing from Federal Reserve Banks and Federal Home Loan Banks, subordinated debt, limited-life preferred stock, and other nondeposit borrowing.  
14. Depository institutions’ own mortgage borrowing, liability for capitalized leases, liability on acceptances executed, various accrual accounts, and miscellaneous liabilities. For U.S. branches and agencies of foreign banks, also includes net owed to head office and other related institutions.  
15. Capital stock, surplus, capital reserves, and undivided profits for SAIF-insured institutions.  
16. U.S. branches and agencies of foreign banks are not required to file reports of income.  
17. Data for thrifts regulated by OTS are unconsolidated, except for operating and finance subsidiaries.  

NOTE: Because of rounding, details may not add to totals.
APPENDIX A: RELEVANT STATUTES

Title X of Public Law 95–630

Title X of Public Law 95–630 establishes the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council. As amended, it reads as follows:

Sec. 1001. This title may be cited as the “Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council Act of 1978.”

Purpose

Sec. 1002. It is the purpose of this title to establish a Financial Institutions Examination Council which shall prescribe uniform principles and standards for the Federal examination of financial institutions by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Office of Thrift Supervision, and the National Credit Union Administration, and make recommendations to promote uniformity in the supervision of these financial institutions. The Council’s actions shall be designed to promote consistency in such examinations to insure progressive and vigilant supervision.

Definitions

Sec. 1003. As used in this title—

(1) the term “federal financial institutions regulatory agencies” means the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Office of Thrift Supervision, and the National Credit Union Administration;

(2) the term “Council” means the “Financial Institutions Examination Council”; and

(3) the term “financial institution” means a commercial bank, a savings bank, a trust company, a savings and loan association, a building and loan association, a homestead association, a cooperative bank, or a credit union.

Expenses of the Council

Sec. 1005. One-fifth of the costs and expenses of the Council, including the salaries of its employees, shall be paid by each of the federal financial institutions regulatory agencies. Annual assessments for such share shall be levied by the Council based upon its projected budget for the year, and additional assessments may be made during the year if necessary.

Functions of the Council

Sec. 1006. (a) The Council shall establish uniform principles and standards and report forms for the examination of financial institutions, which shall be applied by the federal financial institutions regulatory agencies.

(b)(1) The Council shall make recommendations for uniformity in other supervisory matters, such as, but not limited to, classifying loans subject to country risk, identifying financial institutions in need of special supervisory attention, and evaluating the soundness of large loans that are shared by two or more financial institutions. In addition, the Council shall make recommendations regarding the adequacy of supervisory tools for determining the impact of holding company operations on the financial institutions within the holding company and shall consider the ability of supervisory agencies to discover possible fraud or questionable and illegal payments and practices which might occur in the operation of financial institutions or their holding companies.

(b)(2) When a recommendation of the Council is found unacceptable by one or more of the appli-
cable federal financial institutions regulatory agencies, the agency or agencies shall submit to the Council, within a time period specified by the Council, a written statement of the reasons the recommendation is unacceptable.

(c) The Council shall develop uniform reporting systems for federally supervised financial institutions, their holding companies, and nonfinancial institution subsidiaries of such institutions or holding companies. The authority to develop uniform reporting systems shall not restrict or amend the requirements of section 12(I) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

(d) The Council shall conduct schools for examiners and assistant examiners employed by the federal financial institutions regulatory agencies. Such schools shall be open to enrollment by employees of state financial institutions’ supervisory agencies and employees of the Federal Housing Finance Board under conditions specified by the Council.

(e) Nothing in this title shall be construed to limit or discourage federal regulatory agency research and development of new financial institutions supervisory methods and tools, nor to preclude the field testing of any innovation devised by any federal regulatory agency.

(f) Not later than April 1 of each year, the Council shall prepare an annual report covering its activities during the preceding year.

State Liaison

Sec. 1007. To encourage the application of uniform examination principles and standards by state and federal supervisory agencies, the Council shall establish a liaison committee composed of five representatives of state agencies which supervise financial institutions which shall meet at least twice a year with the Council. Members of the liaison committee shall receive a reasonable allowance for necessary expenses incurred in attending meetings.

Administration

Sec. 1008. (a) The Chairman of the Council is authorized to carry out and to delegate the authority to carry out the internal administration of the Council, including the appointment and supervision of employees and the distribution of business among members, employees, and administrative units.

(b) In addition to any other authority conferred upon it by this title, in carrying out its functions under this title, the Council may utilize, with their consent and to the extent practical, the personnel, services, and facilities of the federal financial institutions regulatory agencies, Federal Reserve Banks, and Federal Home Loan Banks, with or without reimbursement therefor.

(c) In addition, the Council may

(1) subject to the provisions of Title 5, United States Code, relating to the competitive service, classification, and General Schedule pay rates, appoint and fix the compensation of such officers and employees as are necessary to carry out the provisions of this title, and to prescribe the authority and duties of such officers and employees; and

(2) obtain the services of such experts and consultants as are necessary to carry out the provisions of the title.

Access to Information by the Council

Sec. 1009. For the purpose of carrying out this title, the Council shall have access to all books, accounts, records, reports, files, memoranda, papers, things, and property belonging to or in use by federal financial institutions regulatory agencies, including reports of examination of financial institutions or their holding companies from whatever source, together with workpapers and correspondence files related to such reports, whether or not a part of the report, and all without any deletions.

Risk Management Training

Sec. 1009A. (a) Seminars. The Council shall develop and administer training seminars in risk management for its employees and the employees of insured financial institutions.

(b) Study of Risk Management Training Program. Not later than the end of the one-year period beginning on the date of the enactment of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act of 1989, the Council shall

(I) conduct a study on the feasibility and appropriateness of establishing a formalized risk management training program designed to lead to the certification of Risk Management Analysts; and

(2) report to the Congress the results of such study.

Audit by the Comptroller General

Sec. 1010. Section 117 of the Accounting and Auditing Act of 1950, as amended by the Federal Banking Agency Audit Act (Public Law 95–320), is further amended by

(1) redesignating clauses (A), (B), (C), and (D) of subsection (e)(1) as (B), (C), and (D), respectively, and inserting in subsection (e)(1) the clause "(A) of the Financial Institutions Examination Council"; immediately following "audits"; and

(2) striking out in subsection (e)(2) "and (C)" and inserting in lieu thereof "(C), and (D)."
Excerpts from Title III of Public Law 94–200

Following are those sections of title III of Public Law 94–200, the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act, as amended, that affect the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council.

Findings and Purpose

Sec. 302. (a) The Congress finds that some depository institutions have sometimes contributed to the decline of certain geographic areas by their failure pursuant to their chartering responsibilities to provide adequate home financing to qualified applicants on reasonable terms and conditions.

(b) The purpose of this title is to provide the citizens and public officials of the United States with sufficient information to enable them to determine whether depository institutions are fulfilling their obligations to serve the housing needs of the communities and neighborhoods in which they are located and to assist public officials in their determination of the distribution of public sector investments in a manner designed to improve the private investment environment.

Maintenance of Records and Public Disclosure

Sec. 304. (f) The Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council in consultation with the Secretary, shall implement a system to facilitate access to data required to be disclosed under this section. Such system shall include arrangements for a central depository of data in each primary metropolitan statistical area, metropolitan statistical area, or consolidated metropolitan statistical area that is not comprised of designated primary metropolitan statistical areas. Disclosure statements shall be made available to the public for inspection and copying at such central depository of data for all depository institutions which are required to disclose information under this section (or which are exempted pursuant to section 306(b)) and which have a home office or branch office within such primary metropolitan statistical area, metropolitan statistical area, or consolidated metropolitan statistical area that is not comprised of designated primary metropolitan statistical areas.

Compilation of Aggregate Data

Sec. 310. (a) Beginning with data for calendar year 1980, the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council shall compile each year, for each primary metropolitan statistical area, metropolitan statistical area, or consolidated metropolitan statistical area that is not comprised of designated primary metropolitan statistical areas, aggregate data by census tract for all depository institutions which are required to disclose information under this section. Such aggregate data shall be made available to the public for inspection and copying at such central depository of data in each primary metropolitan statistical area, metropolitan statistical area, or consolidated metropolitan statistical area that is not comprised of designated primary metropolitan statistical areas.

Excerpts from Title XI of Public Law 101–73

Sec. 1103. Functions of Appraisal Subcommittee.

(a) In General. The Appraisal Subcommittee shall

(i) monitor the requirements established by States for the certification and licensing of individuals who are qualified to perform appraisals in connection with federally regulated transactions, including a code of professional responsibility;

(ii) monitor the requirements established by the federal financial institutions regulatory agencies and the Resolution Trust Corporation with respect to

(A) appraisal standards for federally related transactions under their jurisdiction, and

(B) determinations as to which federally related transactions under their jurisdiction require the services of a State certified appraiser and which require the services of a State licensed appraiser.

(iii) maintain a national registry of State certified and licensed appraisers who are eligible to perform appraisals in federally related transactions; and

(iv) transmit an annual report to the Congress not later than January 31 of each year which describes the manner in which each function assigned to the Appraisal Subcommittee has been carried out during the preceding year.
(b) Monitoring and Reviewing Foundation. The Appraisal Subcommittee shall monitor and review the practices, procedures, activities, and organizational structure of the Appraisal Foundation.

Sec. 1104. Chairperson of Appraisal Subcommittee: Term of Chairperson; meetings.

(a) Chairperson. The Council shall select the Chairperson of the subcommittee. The term of the Chairperson shall be two years.
APPENDIX B: 2001 AUDIT REPORT

Independent Auditors' Report on Financial Statements

To the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council

We have audited the accompanying balance sheet of the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (the Council) as of December 31, 2001 and the related statements of revenues and expenses and changes in cumulative results of operations and cash flows for the year then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Council’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. The financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2000 were audited by other auditors who issued an unqualified opinion thereon dated February 20, 2001.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Council at December 31, 2001, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our reports dated February 22, 2002 on our consideration of the Council’s internal control over financial reporting and its compliance with laws and regulations. Those reports are an integral part of an audit conducted in accordance with Government Auditing Standards, and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

February 22, 2002
FEDERAL FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS EXAMINATION COUNCIL
Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2001 and 2000

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSETS</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CURRENT ASSETS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td>$ 616,667</td>
<td>$ 821,155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable from member organizations</td>
<td>811,360</td>
<td>507,042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other accounts receivable</td>
<td>337,542</td>
<td>196,537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current assets</td>
<td>1,765,569</td>
<td>1,524,734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FURNITURE AND EQUIPMENT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Furniture and equipment, at cost</td>
<td>273,849</td>
<td>273,849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less accumulated depreciation</td>
<td>267,731</td>
<td>261,494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net furniture and equipment</td>
<td>6,118</td>
<td>12,355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total assets</td>
<td>$ 1,771,687</td>
<td>$ 1,537,089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIABILITIES AND CUMULATIVE RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CURRENT LIABILITIES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable and accrued liabilities payable to member organizations (Note 3)</td>
<td>$ 1,162,636</td>
<td>$ 743,939</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other accounts payable and accrued liabilities</td>
<td>87,363</td>
<td>136,193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued annual leave</td>
<td>28,568</td>
<td>40,104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total current liabilities</td>
<td>1,278,567</td>
<td>920,236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEFERRED RENT (Note 5)</td>
<td>150,157</td>
<td>135,572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Liabilities</td>
<td>1,428,724</td>
<td>1,055,808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUMULATIVE RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total liabilities and cumulative results of operations</td>
<td>$ 1,771,687</td>
<td>$ 1,537,089</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See notes to financial statements.

Notes to Financial Statements for the Years Ended December 31, 2001 and 2000

(1) Organization and Purpose
The Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (the "Council") was established under Title X of the Financial Institutions Regulatory and Interest Rate Control Act of 1978. The purpose of the Council is to prescribe uniform principles and standards for the federal examination of financial institutions and to make recommendations to promote uniformity in the supervision of these financial institutions. The five agencies which are represented on the Council, referred to hereafter as member organizations, are as follows:

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System
Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
National Credit Union Administration
Office of the Comptroller of the Currency
Office of Thrift Supervision

The Appraisal Subcommittee of the Council was created pursuant to Public Law 101–73, Title XI of the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery, and Enforcement Act of 1989. The functions of the Appraisal Subcommittee are related to the certification and licensing of individuals who perform appraisals in connection with federally related real estate transactions. Members of the Appraisal Subcommittee consist of the designees of the heads of those agencies which comprise the Council and the designee of the head of the Department of Housing and Urban Development. Although it is a subcommittee of the Council, the Appraisal Subcommittee maintains separate financial records and administrative processes. The Council’s financial statements do not include financial data for the Appraisal Subcommittee other than that presented in note 4.

(2) Significant Accounting Policies
The financial statements have been prepared on the accrual basis of accounting.

Revenues—Assessments made on member organizations for operating expenses and additions to property are based on expected cash needs. Amounts over or under assessed due to differences between actual and expected cash needs flow into “Cumulative Results of Operations” during the year and then are used to offset or increase the next year’s assessment.

Tuition revenue is adjusted at year-end so that total tuition revenue equals expenses incurred by the Examination Education office. Any difference between revenue and expense is reported in accounts payable to member organizations if revenue exceeds expense and in accounts receivable from member organizations if expenses exceed revenue.

Furniture and Equipment—Furniture and equipment is recorded at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is calculated on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets, which range from four to ten years. Upon the sale or other disposition of a depreciable asset, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any gain or loss is recognized.

Estimates—The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

(3) Transactions with Member Organizations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tuition revenue</td>
<td>$293,000</td>
<td>$256,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REVENUES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assessments on member organizations (Note 3)</td>
<td>$ 1,465,000</td>
<td>$ 1,280,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuition</td>
<td>1,622,523</td>
<td>1,811,065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other revenue (Note 4)</td>
<td>2,980,125</td>
<td>2,993,150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total revenues</td>
<td>6,067,648</td>
<td>6,084,215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXPENSES</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salaries and related benefits</td>
<td>1,468,134</td>
<td>1,419,587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data processing</td>
<td>3,376,177</td>
<td>3,302,051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental of office space</td>
<td>600,483</td>
<td>589,821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Professional fees</td>
<td>215,151</td>
<td>270,105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Books and subscriptions</td>
<td>157,691</td>
<td>150,734</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>80,402</td>
<td>98,862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative fees</td>
<td>66,117</td>
<td>62,966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office and other supplies</td>
<td>48,436</td>
<td>93,436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rental and maintenance of office equipment</td>
<td>47,802</td>
<td>69,643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Printing</td>
<td>8,103</td>
<td>20,691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postage</td>
<td>3,420</td>
<td>15,843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation and amortization</td>
<td>6,237</td>
<td>7,870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td>(3,420)</td>
<td>15,843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>4,392</td>
<td>5,121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total expenses</td>
<td>6,205,966</td>
<td>6,272,242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REVENUES OF OPERATIONS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(138,318)</td>
<td>(188,027)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUMULATIVE RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, Beginning of year</td>
<td>481,281</td>
<td>669,308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CUMULATIVE RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, End of year</td>
<td>$ 342,963</td>
<td>$ 481,281</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

See notes to financial statements.

The Council provides seminars in the Washington area and at regional locations throughout the country for member organization examiners and other agencies. The Council received tuition payments from member organizations in the amount of $1,528,453 in 2001 and $1,699,315 in 2000. The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System provided administrative support services to the Council at a cost of $66,117 in 2001 and $62,966 in 2000. Member organizations provided office space, data processing and printing services to the Council. The Council paid member organizations $3,651,730 in 2001 and $3,593,793 in 2000. The Council coordinates the production and distribution of the Uniform Bank Performance Reports (UBPR) through the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). The Council is reimbursed for the direct cost of the operating expenses it incurs for this project. Council employees are paid through the payroll systems of member organizations. Salaries and fringe benefits, including retirement benefit plan contributions disbursed on behalf of the Council are reimbursed in full to these organizations. The Council does not have any post-retirement or post-employment benefit liabilities since Council employees are included in the plans of the member organizations.

Member organizations are not reimbursed for the costs of personnel who serve as Council members and on the various task forces and committees of the Council. The value of these contributed services has not been included in the accompanying financial statements.

(4) Other Revenue

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Council produces and distributes reports under the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA). The Council received the following from member organizations</td>
<td>$1,094,758</td>
<td>$1,227,613</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Council received the following from the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) to fund HUD’s participation in the HMDA project: 337,125 in 2001 and 350,082 in 2000. The Council received the following from the Mortgage Insurance Companies of America for performing HMDA related work for them: 271,917 in 2001 and 168,809 in 2000. The balance of the HMDA revenue for 2001 and 2000 was received from sales to the public: 32,149 in 2001 and 29,633 in 2000.

Total HMDA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$1,735,949</td>
<td>$1,776,137</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes continue on the following page.
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CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments to reconcile results of operations to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depreciation and amortization</td>
<td>$6,237</td>
<td>$7,870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Increase) decrease in assets:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts receivable from member organizations</td>
<td>$(304,318)</td>
<td>$(75,503)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other accounts receivable</td>
<td>$(141,005)</td>
<td>$121,016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase (decrease) liabilities:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable and accrued liabilities to member organizations</td>
<td>$418,697</td>
<td>$248,585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts payable and accrued liabilities</td>
<td>$(48,830)</td>
<td>$4,865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accrued annual leave</td>
<td>$(11,536)</td>
<td>$(8,580)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deferred rent</td>
<td>$14,585</td>
<td>$21,536</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | $(204,488) | $131,762 |

CASH BALANCE, Beginning of year | $821,155 | $689,393 |

CASH BALANCE, End of year | $616,667 | $821,155 |

See notes to financial statements.

(5) Deferred Rent

In 1998, the Council entered into a lease for office space. This lease contains rent abatements and scheduled rent increases, which, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, must be considered in determining the annual rent expense to be recognized by the Council. The deferred rent represents the difference between the actual lease payments and the rent expense recognized.

(6) Commitments

The Council entered into operating leases to secure office and classroom space for periods ranging from two to ten years. Minimum future rental commitments under those operating leases having an initial or remaining noncancellable lease term in excess of one year at December 31, 2001, are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2003</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>2005</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>After 2006</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>$320,232</td>
<td>$330,555</td>
<td>$347,624</td>
<td>$350,575</td>
<td>$361,526</td>
<td>$648,743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$361,526</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rental expenses under these operating leases were $537,519 and $532,096 in 2001 and 2000, respectively.

Uniform Bank Performance Report (UBPR)

The Council coordinated and provided certain administrative support to the UBPR project. The Council received the following for operating expenses incurred in support of the UBPR project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$273,122</td>
<td>$264,948</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appraisal Subcommittee

The Council provided space and certain administrative support to the Appraisal Subcommittee. The Council received the following from the Appraisal Subcommittee for these services:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$189,387</td>
<td>$213,537</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Community Reinvestment Act

The Council received the following from participating member agencies for operating expenses incurred in support of the project:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>781,657</td>
<td>738,525</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Miscellaneous

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$2,980,125 $2,993,150
Independent Auditors’ Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

To the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council

We have audited the financial statements of the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (the Council) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2001, and have issued our report thereon dated February 22, 2002. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Council’s internal control over financial reporting by obtaining an understanding of the Council’s internal control, determining whether these internal controls had been placed in operation, assessing control risk, and performing tests of controls in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements. The objective of our audit was not to provide assurance on internal control. Consequently, we do not provide an opinion on internal control.

Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses under standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Material weaknesses are conditions in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements, in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited, may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. Because of inherent limitations in internal control, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected. However, we noted no matters involving internal control and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses as defined above.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Council and management, the U.S. Office of Management and Budget, and Congress, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

February 22, 2002
Independent Auditors' Report on Compliance with Laws and Regulations

To the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council

We have audited the financial statements of the Federal Financial Institutions Examination Council (the Council) as of and for the year ended December 31, 2001, and have issued our report thereon dated February 22, 2002. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

The management of the Council is responsible for complying with laws and regulations applicable to the Council. As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Council’s financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws and regulations, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with laws and regulations was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

The results of our tests of compliance with the laws and regulations described in the preceding paragraph disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Council and management, the U.S. Office of Management and Budget, and Congress, and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

February 22, 2002
APPENDIX C: MAPS OF AGENCY REGIONS AND DISTRICTS

38  Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System
39  Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation
40  National Credit Union Administration
41  Office of the Comptroller of the Currency
42  Office of Thrift Supervision
THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM DISTRICTS


Alaska

Hawaii