

SUPPLEMENTAL INSTRUCTIONS

June 2016 Call Report Forms

Sample Call Report forms for June 2016 are available on both the FFIEC's website (https://www.ffeic.gov/ffeic_report_forms.htm) and the FDIC's website (<https://www.fdic.gov/callreports>). There is no instruction book update this quarter. Call Report forms, including the cover (signature) page, and instructional materials can be printed and downloaded from the FFIEC's and the FDIC's websites. In addition, institutions that use Call Report software generally can print paper copies of blank forms from their software. Please ensure that the person responsible for preparing Call Reports at your institution has been notified about the electronic availability of the June 2016 report forms as well as these Supplemental Instructions. The locations of changes to the text of the previous quarter's Supplemental Instructions (except references to the quarter-end report date) are identified by a vertical line in the right margin.

Submission of Completed Reports

Each institution's Call Report data must be submitted to the FFIEC's Central Data Repository (CDR), an Internet-based system for data collection (<https://cdr.ffeic.gov/cdr/>), using one of the two methods described in the banking agencies' Financial Institution Letter (FIL) for the June 30, 2016, report date. The CDR Help Desk is available from 9:00 a.m. until 8:00 p.m., Eastern Time, Monday through Friday, and Saturday, July 30, 2016, to provide assistance with user accounts, passwords, and other CDR system-related issues. The CDR Help Desk can be reached by telephone at (888) CDR-3111, by fax at (703) 774-3946, or by e-mail at CDR.Help@ffeic.gov.

Institutions are required to maintain in their files a signed and attested hard-copy record of the Call Report data file submitted to the CDR. The appearance of this hard-copy record of the submitted data file need not match exactly the appearance of the sample report forms on the FFIEC's website, but the hard-copy record should show at least the caption of each Call Report item and the reported amount. A copy of the cover page printed from Call Report software or from the FFIEC's website should be used to fulfill the signature and attestation requirement. The signed cover page should be attached to the hard-copy record of the Call Report data file that must be placed in the institution's files.

Currently, Call Report preparation software products marketed by Axiom Software Laboratories, Inc.; DBI Financial Systems, Inc.; Fed Reporter, Inc.; FIS Compliance Solutions; FiServ, Inc.; Jack Henry & Associates, Inc.; Lombard Risk; SHAZAM Core Services; and Wolters Kluwer Financial Services meet the technical specifications for producing Call Report data files that are able to be processed by the CDR. The addresses and telephone numbers of these vendors are listed on the final page of these Supplemental Instructions.

Reporting Exposures Hedged with Cleared Eligible Credit Derivatives in Schedule RC-R

Institutions are able to obtain full or partial protection for (i.e., "hedge") on-balance sheet assets or off-balance sheet items using credit derivatives that are cleared through a central counterparty (CCP) or a qualified central counterparty (QCCP). In some cases, a cleared credit derivative used for this purpose meets the definition of an eligible credit derivative in section .2 of the agencies' regulatory capital rules. In these cases, under section .36 of the regulatory capital rules, an institution that is a clearing member bank or a clearing member client bank may recognize the credit risk mitigation benefits of the eligible credit derivative. More specifically, the risk weight of the underlying exposure (e.g., 20 percent, 50 percent, and 100 percent) may be replaced with the risk weight of the protection provider on the cleared credit derivative if the derivative is an eligible credit derivative, is cleared through a CCP or a QCCP, and meets the applicable requirements under sections .35 and .36 of the regulatory capital rules. The risk weight for an eligible credit derivative cleared through a QCCP is 2 percent or 4 percent, based on conditions set forth in the rules. In addition, the coverage amount provided by an eligible credit derivative must be adjusted downward under certain conditions as described in section .36 of the regulatory capital rules.

However, on Schedule RC-R, Part II, the 2 percent and 4 percent risk-weight columns are shaded for all on-balance sheet assets and off-balance sheet items. Therefore, the protected exposure amounts and credit equivalent amounts cannot be reported in these risk-weight categories. If a clearing member bank or clearing member client bank has obtained full or partial protection for an on-balance sheet asset or off-balance sheet item using a cleared eligible credit derivative cleared through a QCCP, the institution may, but is not required, to recognize the benefits of this eligible credit derivative in determining the risk-weighted asset amount for the hedged exposure in Schedule RC-R, Part II. As a practical expedient for an on-balance sheet asset or an off-balance sheet item, the reporting institution should first multiply the exposure amount or the credit equivalent amount covered by the eligible credit derivative by the risk weight applicable to the cleared eligible credit derivative (i.e., 2 percent or 4 percent, as appropriate under the regulatory capital rules) and report the product in Column I, the 100 percent risk-weight category. Second, the difference between the covered exposure amount and the product reported in Column I should be reported in Column C, the zero percent risk-weight category. Any amount of the exposure that is not covered by the eligible credit derivative should be reported in the column corresponding to the risk weight of the underlying exposure. For example, for an asset with a \$200 exposure amount fully covered by an eligible credit derivative cleared through a QCCP that qualifies for a 2 percent risk weight, the institution would report \$4 in Column I–100% risk weight and \$196 in Column C–0% risk weight.

The agencies' regulatory capital rules may be found at [12 CFR Part 3](#) for national banks and federal savings associations, [12 CFR Part 217](#) for state member banks, and [12 CFR Part 324](#) for state nonmember banks and state savings associations.

Classification and Measurement of Financial Instruments: Fair Value Option Liabilities

On January 5, 2016, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) completed its Classification and Measurement of Financial Instruments project by issuing Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2016-01, "Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities."

This new ASU makes targeted improvements to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). It includes requiring an institution to present separately in other comprehensive income (OCI) the portion of the total change in the fair value of a liability resulting from a change in the instrument-specific credit risk ("own credit risk") when the institution has elected to measure the liability at fair value in accordance with the fair value option for financial instruments. Prior to the new ASU, U.S. GAAP required institutions to report the entire change in fair value of such an instrument in earnings. The effect of a change in an entity's own credit risk for other financial liabilities measured at fair value, including derivatives, will continue to be reported in net income.

The change due to own credit risk, as described above, is the difference between the total change in fair value and the amount resulting from a change in a base market rate (e.g., a risk-free interest rate). An institution may use another method that it believes results in a faithful measurement of the fair value change attributable to instrument-specific credit risk. However, it will have to apply the method consistently to each financial liability from period to period.

For public business entities, as defined under U.S. GAAP, the ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. For all other entities, the ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, and interim periods within fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019. Early application of the ASU is permitted for all organizations that are not public business entities as of the fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. Additionally, early application of the provisions regarding the presentation in OCI of changes due to own credit risk, as described above, is permitted for all entities for financial statements of fiscal years or interim periods that have not yet been issued or made available for issuance, and in the same period for Call Report purposes.

When an institution with a calendar year fiscal year adopts ASU 2016-01, the accumulated gains and losses as of the beginning of the fiscal year due to changes in the instrument-specific credit risk of fair value option

liabilities, net of tax effect, are reclassified from Schedule RC, item 26.a, “Retained earnings,” to Schedule RC, item 26.b, “Accumulated other comprehensive income” (AOCI). If an institution with a calendar year fiscal year chooses to early apply the ASU’s provisions for fair value option liabilities in an interim period after the first interim period of its fiscal year, any unrealized gains and losses due to changes in own credit risk and the related tax effects recognized in the Call Report income statement during the interim period(s) before the interim period of adoption should be reclassified from earnings to OCI. In the Call Report, this reclassification would be from Schedule RI, item 5.I, “Other noninterest income,” and Schedule RI, item 9, “Applicable income taxes,” to Schedule RI-A, item 10, “Other comprehensive income,” with a corresponding reclassification from Schedule RC, item 26.a, to Schedule RC, item 26.b.

Additionally, for purposes of reporting on Schedule RC-R, Part I, institutions should report in item 10.a, “Less: Unrealized net gain (loss) related to changes in the fair value of liabilities that are due to changes in own credit risk,” the amount included in AOCI attributable to changes in the fair value of fair value option liabilities that are due to changes in the institution’s own credit risk. Institutions should note that this AOCI amount is included in the amount reported in Schedule RC-R, Part I, item 3, “Accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI).”

For additional information, institutions should refer to ASU 2016-01, which is available at <http://www.fasb.org/jsp/FASB/Page/SectionPage&cid=1176156316498>.

Accounting for Measurement-Period Adjustments Related to a Business Combination

In September 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-16, “Simplifying the Accounting for Measurement-Period Adjustments.” Under Accounting Standards Codification Topic 805, Business Combinations (formerly FASB Statement No. 141(R), “Business Combinations”), if the initial accounting for a business combination is incomplete by the end of the reporting period in which the combination occurs, the acquirer reports provisional amounts in its financial statements for the items for which the accounting is incomplete. During the measurement period, the acquirer is required to adjust the provisional amounts recognized at the acquisition date, with a corresponding adjustment to goodwill, to reflect new information obtained about facts and circumstances that existed as of the acquisition date that, if known, would have affected the measurement of the amounts recognized as of that date. At present under Topic 805, an acquirer is required to retrospectively adjust the provisional amounts recognized at the acquisition date to reflect the new information. To simplify the accounting for the adjustments made to provisional amounts, ASU 2015-16 eliminates the requirement to retrospectively account for the adjustments. Accordingly, the ASU amends Topic 805 to require an acquirer to recognize adjustments to provisional amounts that are identified during the measurement period in the reporting period in which adjustment amounts are determined. Under the ASU, the acquirer also must recognize in the financial statements for the same reporting period the effect on earnings, if any, resulting from the adjustments to the provisional amounts as if the accounting for the business combination had been completed as of the acquisition date.

In general, the measurement period in a business combination is the period after the acquisition date during which the acquirer may adjust provisional amounts reported for identifiable assets acquired, liabilities assumed, and consideration transferred for the acquiree for which the initial accounting for the business combination is incomplete at the end of the reporting period in which the combination occurs. Topic 805 provides additional guidance on the measurement period, which shall not exceed one year from the acquisition date, and adjustments to provisional amounts during this period.

For institutions that are public business entities, as defined under U.S. GAAP, ASU 2015-16 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2015. For institutions that are not public business entities (i.e., that are private companies), the ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017. The ASU’s amendments to Topic 805 should be applied prospectively to adjustments to provisional amounts that occur after the effective date of the ASU. Thus, institutions with a calendar year fiscal year that are public business entities were required to apply the ASU to any adjustments to provisional amounts that occur after January 1, 2016, beginning with their Call Reports for March 31, 2016. Institutions with a calendar year fiscal year that are private companies must apply the ASU to any adjustments to provisional amounts that occur after

January 1, 2017, beginning with their Call Reports for December 31, 2017. Early application of ASU 2015-16 is permitted in Call Reports that have not been submitted.

For additional information, institutions should refer to ASU 2015-16, which is available at <http://www.fasb.org/jsp/FASB/Page/SectionPage&cid=1176156316498>.

Debt Issuance Costs

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-03, “Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs.” This ASU requires debt issuance costs associated with a recognized debt liability to be presented as a direct deduction from the face amount of the related debt liability, similar to debt discounts. The ASU is limited to the presentation of debt issuance costs; therefore, the recognition and measurement guidance for such costs is unaffected. At present, Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Subtopic 835-30, Interest – Imputation of Interest, requires debt issuance costs to be reported on the balance sheet as an asset (i.e., a deferred charge). As a result, for Call Report purposes, the costs of issuing debt have been reported, net of accumulated amortization, in Schedule RC-F, item 6, “All other assets,” and Schedule RC, item 11, “Other assets.”

For institutions that are public business entities, as defined under U.S. GAAP, ASU 2015-03 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2015. For example, institutions with a calendar year fiscal year that are public business entities were required to apply the ASU in their Call Reports beginning March 31, 2016. For institutions that are not public business entities (i.e., that are private companies), the ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods within fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016. Thus, institutions with a calendar year fiscal year that are private companies must apply the ASU in their December 31, 2016, and subsequent quarterly Call Reports. Early adoption of the guidance in ASU 2015-03 is permitted.

After an institution adopts ASU 2015-03, any transaction involving a recognized debt liability in which debt issuance costs were incurred and classified as deferred charges in “Other assets” before the adoption of the ASU should be reported as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of the related debt liability and included in the appropriate balance sheet category of liabilities in Call Report Schedule RC, e.g., item 16, “Other borrowed money,” or item 19, “Subordinated notes and debentures.” However, the guidance in ASU 2015-03 does not address the presentation or subsequent measurement of debt issuance costs related to line-of-credit arrangements. Accordingly, the agencies would not object to an institution deferring and presenting debt issuance costs as an “Other asset” and subsequently amortizing the deferred debt issuance costs ratably over the term of the line-of-credit arrangement, regardless of whether there are any outstanding borrowings on the line-of-credit arrangement.

For additional information, institutions should refer to ASU 2015-03, which is available at <http://www.fasb.org/jsp/FASB/Page/SectionPage&cid=1176156316498>.

Disclosures for Investments in Certain Entities That Calculate Net Asset Value per Share

In May 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-07, “Disclosures for Investments in Certain Entities That Calculate Net Asset Value per Share (or Its Equivalent).” This ASU removes the requirement to categorize within the fair value hierarchy all investments for which fair value is measured using the net asset value (NAV) per share (or its equivalent) practical expedient described in ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurement (formerly FASB Statement No. 157, “Fair Value Measurements”). It also removes the requirement to make certain disclosures for all investments that are eligible to be measured at fair value using the NAV per share practical expedient, regardless of whether the expedient has been applied. Rather, the ASU limits those disclosures to investments for which the entity has elected to measure fair value using the NAV per share practical expedient to help users of its financial statements understand the nature and risks of the investments and whether the investments, if sold, are probable of being sold at amounts different from their NAV per share (or its equivalent). In addition, although the investments are not categorized within the fair value hierarchy, the ASU requires a reporting entity to disclose the amount of investments for which fair value is measured using the

NAV per share practical expedient to permit reconciliation of the fair value of investments included in the fair value hierarchy to the line items presented in the statement of financial position.

ASC Topic 820 currently permits a reporting entity, as a practical expedient, to measure the fair value of certain investments in investment companies and real estate funds using the NAV per share of the investment. In contrast to other investments within the fair value hierarchy, which are categorized on the basis of the observability of the significant inputs in the fair value measurement, investments valued using the NAV per share practical expedient currently are categorized on the basis of whether the investment is redeemable with the investee at NAV on the measurement date, never redeemable with the investee at NAV, or redeemable with the investee at NAV at a future date.

The criteria for categorizing investments in the fair value hierarchy that are measured using the NAV per share practical expedient do not consider the observability of inputs and are therefore inconsistent with the overarching intent of the fair value hierarchy. By removing the requirement to include investments measured using the NAV per share practical expedient within the fair value hierarchy, ASU 2015-07 ensures that all investments within the hierarchy are categorized using a consistent approach. Investments that calculate NAV per share, but for which the practical expedient is not applied, must continue to be included in the fair value hierarchy.

For Call Report purposes, the issuance of ASU 2015-07 means that an institution that has adopted the ASU and elects to measure the fair value of an investment that meets criteria specified in Topic 820 using the NAV per share practical expedient should continue to report the investment's fair value in the appropriate asset item in column A of Schedule RC-Q, Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis. However, the institution should exclude the investment from the Level 1, 2, and 3 disclosures in columns C, D, and E of Schedule RC-Q and it should instead report the fair value measured using the NAV per share practical expedient in column B along with the netting adjustments currently reported in column B. In contrast, if the institution does not elect to measure an investment that meets criteria specified in Topic 820 using the NAV practical expedient, it must disclose in column C, D, or E of Schedule RC-Q, as appropriate, the level within the fair value hierarchy within which its fair value measurement in its entirety falls based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

ASU 2015-07 is effective for institutions that are public business entities, as defined under U.S. GAAP, for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods within those fiscal years. For example, institutions with a calendar year fiscal year that are public business entities were required to apply the ASU in their Call Reports beginning March 31, 2016. For institutions that are not public business entities (i.e., that are private companies), the ASU is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Accordingly, institutions with a calendar year fiscal year that are private companies must apply the ASU in their Call Reports beginning March 31, 2017. Earlier application is permitted. If an institution chooses to early adopt ASU 2015-07 for financial reporting purposes, the institution may implement the provisions of the ASU in the manner described above in its Call Report for the same quarter-end report date. However, prior Call Reports should not be amended.

For additional information, institutions should refer to ASU 2015-07, which is available at <http://www.fasb.org/jsp/FASB/Page/SectionPage&cid=1176156316498>.

Extraordinary Items

In January 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-01, "Simplifying Income Statement Presentation by Eliminating the Concept of Extraordinary Items." This ASU eliminates from U.S. GAAP the concept of extraordinary items. Until the effective date of ASU 2015-01, ASC Subtopic 225-20, Income Statement – Extraordinary and Unusual Items (formerly Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 30, "Reporting the Results of Operations"), requires an entity to separately classify, present, and disclose extraordinary events and transactions. An event or transaction is presumed to be an ordinary and usual activity of the reporting entity unless evidence clearly supports its classification as an extraordinary item. For Call Report purposes, until the effective date of ASU 2015-01, if an event or transaction currently meets the criteria for extraordinary

classification, an institution must segregate the extraordinary item from the results of its ordinary operations and report the extraordinary item in its income statement in Schedule RI, item 11, “Extraordinary items and other adjustments, net of income taxes.”

For all institutions, ASU 2015-01 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2015. For Call Report purposes, an institution with a calendar year fiscal year was required to apply the ASU prospectively, that is, in general, to events or transactions occurring on or after January 1, 2016. An institution with a fiscal year other than a calendar year that did not early adopt ASU 2015-01 in 2015 is required to apply the ASU prospectively from the beginning of its fiscal year that begins in 2016.

After an institution adopts ASU 2015-01, any event or transaction that would have met the criteria for extraordinary classification before the adoption of the ASU should no longer be reported in Call Report Schedule RI, item 11, or itemized and described in Schedule RI-E, item 3. Instead, such an event or transaction should be reported in Schedule RI, item 5.i, “Other noninterest income,” or item 7.d, “Other noninterest expense,” as appropriate, unless the event or transaction would otherwise be reportable in another item of Schedule RI. In addition, consistent with ASU 2015-01, the agencies plan to remove references to the term “extraordinary items” from, and revise the captions for, Schedule RI, items 8, 10, and 11, and Schedule RI-E, item 3, later in 2016.

For additional information, institutions should refer to ASU 2015-01, which is available at <http://www.fasb.org/jsp/FASB/Page/SectionPage&cid=1176156316498>.

Supplementary Leverage Ratio for Advanced Approaches Institutions

Item 45 of Schedule RC-R, Part I, Regulatory Capital Components and Ratios, applies to the reporting of the supplementary leverage ratio (SLR) by advanced approaches institutions. In the sample Call Report forms and the Call Report instructions for report dates before March 31, 2015, the caption for item 45 and the instructions for this item both indicated that, in the first quarter of 2015, advanced approaches institutions should begin to report their SLR as calculated for purposes of Schedule A, item 98, of the FFIEC 101, Regulatory Capital Reporting for Institutions Subject to the Advanced Capital Adequacy Framework.

However, because of amendments to the banking agencies’ regulatory capital rules in 2014 that revised certain aspects of the SLR, the agencies proposed to revise the reporting of SLR data in Schedule RC-R, Part I. This proposed revision will take effect September 30, 2016. Accordingly, the reporting of the SLR in item 45 of Schedule RC-R, Part I, has been deferred until the proposed SLR revisions take effect.

Accounting for a Subsequent Restructuring of a Troubled Debt Restructuring

When a loan has previously been modified in a troubled debt restructuring (TDR), the lending institution and the borrower may subsequently enter into another restructuring agreement. The facts and circumstances of each subsequent restructuring of a TDR loan should be carefully evaluated to determine the appropriate accounting by the institution under U.S. GAAP. Under certain circumstances it may be acceptable not to account for the subsequently restructured loan as a TDR. The federal financial institution regulatory agencies will not object to an institution no longer treating such a loan as a TDR if at the time of the subsequent restructuring the borrower is not experiencing financial difficulties and, under the terms of the subsequent restructuring agreement, no concession has been granted by the institution to the borrower. To meet these conditions for removing the TDR designation, the subsequent restructuring agreement must specify market terms, including a contractual interest rate not less than a market interest rate for new debt with similar credit risk characteristics and other terms no less favorable to the institution than those it would offer for such new debt. When assessing whether a concession has been granted by the institution, the agencies consider any principal forgiveness on a cumulative basis to be a continuing concession. When determining whether the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties, the institution’s assessment of the borrower’s financial condition and prospects for repayment after the restructuring should be supported by a current, well-documented credit evaluation performed at the time of the restructuring.

If at the time of the subsequent restructuring the institution appropriately demonstrates that a loan meets the conditions discussed above, the impairment on the loan need no longer be measured as a TDR in accordance with ASC Subtopic 310-10, Receivables – Overall (formerly FASB Statement No.114), and the loan need no longer be disclosed as a TDR in the Call Report, except as noted below. Accordingly, going forward, loan impairment should be measured under ASC Subtopic 450-20, Contingencies – Loss Contingencies (formerly FASB Statement No. 5). Even though the loan need no longer be measured for impairment as a TDR or disclosed as a TDR, the recorded investment in the loan should not change at the time of the subsequent restructuring (unless cash is advanced or received). In this regard, when there have been charge-offs prior to the subsequent restructuring, consistent with longstanding Call Report instructions, no recoveries should be recognized until collections on amounts previously charged off have been received. Similarly, if interest payments were applied to the recorded investment in the TDR loan prior to the subsequent restructuring, the application of these payments to the recorded investment should not be reversed nor reported as interest income at the time of the subsequent restructuring.

If the TDR designation is removed from a loan that meets the conditions discussed above and the loan is later modified in a TDR or individually evaluated and determined to be impaired, then the impairment on the loan should be measured under ASC Subtopic 310-10 and, if appropriate, the loan should be disclosed as a TDR.

For a subsequently restructured TDR loan on which there was principal forgiveness and therefore does not meet the conditions discussed above, the impairment on the loan should continue to be measured as a TDR. However, if the subsequent restructuring agreement specifies a contractual interest rate that, at the time of the subsequent restructuring, is not less than a market interest rate for new debt with similar credit risk characteristics and the loan is performing in compliance with its modified terms after the subsequent restructuring, the loan need not continue to be reported as a TDR in Schedule RC-C, Part I, Memorandum item 1, in calendar years after the year in which the subsequent restructuring took place. To be considered in compliance with its modified terms, a loan that is a TDR must be in accrual status and must be current or less than 30 days past due on its contractual principal and interest payments under the modified repayment terms.

Reporting Certain Government-Guaranteed Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure

In August 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2014-14, “Classification of Certain Government-Guaranteed Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure,” to address diversity in practice for how government-guaranteed mortgage loans are recorded upon foreclosure. The ASU updates guidance contained in ASC Subtopic 310-40, Receivables – Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors (formerly FASB Statement No. 15, “Accounting by Debtors and Creditors for Troubled Debt Restructurings,” as amended), because U.S. GAAP previously did not provide specific guidance on how to categorize or measure foreclosed mortgage loans that are government guaranteed. The ASU clarifies the conditions under which a creditor must derecognize a government-guaranteed mortgage loan and recognize a separate “other receivable” upon foreclosure (that is, when a creditor receives physical possession of real estate property collateralizing a mortgage loan in accordance with the guidance in ASC Subtopic 310-40).

Under the ASU, institutions should derecognize a mortgage loan and record a separate other receivable upon foreclosure of the real estate collateral if the following conditions are met:

- The loan has a government guarantee that is not separable from the loan before foreclosure.
- At the time of foreclosure, the institution has the intent to convey the property to the guarantor and make a claim on the guarantee and it has the ability to recover under that claim.
- At the time of foreclosure, any amount of the claim that is determined on the basis of the fair value of the real estate is fixed (that is, the real estate property has been appraised for purposes of the claim and thus the institution is not exposed to changes in the fair value of the property).

This guidance is applicable to fully and partially government-guaranteed mortgage loans provided the three conditions identified above have been met. In such situations, upon foreclosure, the separate other receivable should be measured based on the amount of the loan balance (principal and interest) expected to be recovered from the guarantor. This other receivable should be reported in Schedule RC-F, item 6, “All other

assets,” and itemized and described if the aggregate amount of these other receivables exceeds the reporting threshold for item 6. Any interest income earned on the other receivable would be reported in Schedule RI, item 1.g, “Other interest income.” Other real estate owned would not be recognized by the institution.

For institutions that are public business entities, as defined under U.S. GAAP, ASU 2014-14 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2014. For example, institutions with a calendar year fiscal year that are public business entities were required to apply the ASU in their Call Reports beginning March 31, 2015. However, institutions that are not public business entities (i.e., that are private companies) were not required to apply the guidance in ASU 2014-14 until annual periods ending after December 15, 2015, and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Thus, institutions with a calendar year fiscal year that are private companies were required to apply the ASU in their December 31, 2015, and subsequent quarterly Call Reports. Earlier adoption of the guidance in ASU 2014-14 was permitted if the institution had already adopted the amendments in ASU No. 2014-04, “Reclassification of Residential Real Estate Collateralized Consumer Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure” (which is discussed in the following section of these Supplemental Instructions).

Entities could elect to apply ASU 2014-14 on either a modified retrospective transition basis or a prospective transition basis. However, institutions must use the method of transition that is elected for ASU 2014-04 (that is, either modified retrospective or prospective). Under the less complex prospective transition method, the new guidance applies only to foreclosures of real estate property collateralizing certain government-guaranteed mortgage loans (based on the criteria described above) that occur after the date of adoption of the ASU. Under the modified retrospective transition method, a cumulative-effect adjustment is applied to affected accounts existing as of the beginning of the annual period for which the ASU is adopted. The cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings as of the beginning of the period of adoption of this change in accounting principle is reported in Schedule RI-A, item 2.

For additional information, institutions should refer to ASU 2014-14, which is available at <http://www.fasb.org/jsp/FASB/Page/SectionPage&cid=1176156316498>.

Reclassification of Residential Real Estate Collateralized Consumer Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure

In January 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2014-04, “Reclassification of Residential Real Estate Collateralized Consumer Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure,” to address diversity in practice for when certain loan receivables should be derecognized and the real estate collateral recognized. The ASU updates guidance contained in Accounting Standards Codification Subtopic 310-40, Receivables – Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors (formerly FASB Statement No.15, “Accounting by Debtors and Creditors for Troubled Debt Restructurings,” as amended).

Under prior accounting guidance, all loan receivables were reclassified to other real estate owned (OREO) when the institution, as creditor, obtained physical possession of the property, regardless of whether formal foreclosure proceedings had taken place. The ASU clarifies when a creditor is considered to have received physical possession (resulting from an in-substance repossession or foreclosure) of residential real estate collateralizing a consumer mortgage loan. Under the guidance, physical possession for these residential real estate properties is considered to have occurred and a loan receivable would be reclassified to OREO only upon:

- The institution obtaining legal title upon completion of a foreclosure even if the borrower has redemption rights that provide the borrower with a legal right for a period of time after foreclosure to reclaim the property by paying certain amounts specified by law, or
- The completion of a deed in lieu of foreclosure or similar legal agreement under which the borrower conveys all interest in the residential real estate property to the institution to satisfy the loan.

Other real estate owned in the form of 1-4 family residential properties, when held in domestic offices, is reported in Schedule RC-M, item 3.c, except for properties resulting from foreclosures on real estate backing “GNMA loans,” which were reported in Schedule RC-M, item 3.f, until an institution adopts ASU 2014-14.

As discussed in the preceding section of these Supplemental Instructions, the manner in which certain government-guaranteed mortgage loans are recorded upon foreclosure changes when an institution adopts ASU 2014-14.

Loans secured by real estate other than consumer mortgage loans collateralized by residential real estate should continue to be reclassified to OREO when the institution has received physical possession of a borrower's real estate, regardless of whether formal foreclosure proceedings take place.

For institutions that are public business entities, as defined under U.S. GAAP, ASU 2014-04 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2014. For example, institutions with a calendar year fiscal year that are public business entities were required to apply the ASU in their Call Reports beginning March 31, 2015. However, institutions that are not public business entities (i.e., that are private companies) were not required to apply the guidance in ASU 2014-04 until annual periods beginning after December 15, 2014, and interim periods within annual periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Thus, institutions with a calendar year fiscal year that are private companies were required to apply the ASU in their December 31, 2015, and subsequent quarterly Call Reports. Earlier adoption of the guidance in ASU 2014-04 was permitted.

Entities could elect to apply the ASU on either a modified retrospective transition basis or a prospective transition basis. Under the less complex prospective transition method, the new guidance applies to all instances where an institution receives physical possession of residential real estate property collateralizing consumer mortgage loans that occur after the date of adoption of the ASU. Under the modified retrospective transition method, a cumulative-effect adjustment is applied to residential consumer mortgage loans and OREO existing as of the beginning of the annual period for which the ASU is effective. The cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings as of the beginning of the period of adoption of this change in accounting principle is reported in Schedule RI-A, item 2. If the ASU has been adopted on a modified retrospective basis, assets reclassified from OREO to loans were to be measured at the carrying value of the real estate at the date of adoption while assets reclassified from loans to OREO were to be measured at the lower of the net amount of the loan receivable or the OREO property's fair value less costs to sell at the time of adoption.

For additional information, institutions should refer to ASU 2014-04, which is available at <http://www.fasb.org/jsp/FASB/Page/SectionPage&cid=1176156316498>.

Amending Previously Submitted Report Data

Should your institution find that it needs to revise previously submitted Call Report data, please make the appropriate changes to the data, ensure that the revised data passes the FFIEC-published validation criteria, and submit the revised data file to the CDR using one of the two methods described in the banking agencies' Financial Institution Letter for the June 30, 2016, report date. For technical assistance with the submission of amendments to the CDR, please contact the CDR Help Desk by telephone at (888) CDR-3111, by fax at (703) 774-3946, or by e-mail at CDR.Help@ffiec.gov.

Other Reporting Matters

For the following topics, institutions should continue to follow the guidance in the specified Call Report Supplemental Instructions:

- “Purchased” Loans Originated By Others – Supplemental Instructions for September 30, 2015 (https://www.ffiec.gov/PDF/FFIEC_forms/FFIEC031_FFIEC041_suppinst_201509.pdf)
- True-up Liability under an FDIC Loss-Sharing Agreement – Supplemental Instructions for June 30, 2015 (https://www.ffiec.gov/PDF/FFIEC_forms/FFIEC031_FFIEC041_suppinst_201506.pdf)
- Troubled Debt Restructurings, Current Market Interest Rates, and ASU No. 2011-02 – Supplemental Instructions for December 31, 2014 (https://www.ffiec.gov/PDF/FFIEC_forms/FFIEC031_FFIEC041_suppinst_201412.pdf)

- Determining the Fair Value of Derivatives – Supplemental Instructions for June 30, 2014 (https://www.ffiec.gov/PDF/FFIEC_forms/FFIEC031_FFIEC041_suppinst_201406.pdf)
- Indemnification Assets and Accounting Standards Update No. 2012-06 – Supplemental Instructions for June 30, 2014 (https://www.ffiec.gov/PDF/FFIEC_forms/FFIEC031_FFIEC041_suppinst_201406.pdf)
- Other-Than-Temporary Impairment of Debt Securities – Supplemental Instructions for June 30, 2014 (https://www.ffiec.gov/PDF/FFIEC_forms/FFIEC031_FFIEC041_suppinst_201406.pdf)
- Small Business Lending Fund – Supplemental Instructions for March 31, 2013 (https://www.ffiec.gov/PDF/FFIEC_forms/FFIEC031_FFIEC041_suppinst_201303.pdf)
- Reporting purchased subordinated securities in Schedule RC-S – Supplemental Instructions for September 30, 2011 (https://www.ffiec.gov/PDF/FFIEC_forms/FFIEC031_FFIEC041_suppinst_201109.pdf)
- Treasury Department’s Capital Purchase Program – Supplemental Instructions for September 30, 2011 (https://www.ffiec.gov/PDF/FFIEC_forms/FFIEC031_FFIEC041_suppinst_201109.pdf)
- Deposit insurance assessments – Supplemental Instructions for September 30, 2009 (https://www.ffiec.gov/PDF/FFIEC_forms/FFIEC031_041_suppinst_200909.pdf)
- Accounting for share-based payments under FASB Statement No. 123 (Revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* – Supplemental Instructions for December 31, 2006 (https://www.ffiec.gov/PDF/FFIEC_forms/FFIEC031_041_suppinst_200612.pdf)
- Commitments to originate and sell mortgage loans – Supplemental Instructions for March 31, 2006 (https://www.ffiec.gov/PDF/FFIEC_forms/FFIEC031_041_suppinst_200603.pdf) and June 30, 2005 (https://www.ffiec.gov/PDF/FFIEC_forms/FFIEC031_041_suppinst_200506.pdf)

Call Report Software Vendors

For information on available Call Report preparation software products, institutions should contact:

Axiom Software Laboratories, Inc.
67 Wall Street, 17th Floor
New York, New York 10005
Telephone: (212) 248-4188
<http://www.axiomsl.com>

DBI Financial Systems, Inc.
P.O. Box 14027
Bradenton, Florida 34280
Telephone: (800) 774-3279
<http://www.e-dbi.com>

Fed Reporter, Inc.
28118 Agoura Road, Suite 202
Agoura Hills, California 91301
Telephone: (888) 972-3772
<http://www.fedreporter.net>

FIS Compliance Solutions
16855 West Bernardo Drive,
Suite 270
San Diego, California 92127
Telephone: (800) 825-3772
<http://www.callreporter.com>

FiServ, Inc.
1345 Old Cheney Road
Lincoln, Nebraska 68512
Telephone: (402) 423-2682
<http://www.premier.fiserv.com>

Jack Henry & Associates, Inc.
Regulatory Filing Group
11044 Research Boulevard,
Suite D-230
Austin, Texas 78759
Telephone: (800) 688-9191
<http://filing.jackhenry.com>

Lombard Risk
One Gateway Center,
26th Floor
Newark, New Jersey 07102
Telephone: (973) 648-0900
<http://www.lombardrisk.com>

SHAZAM Core Services
6700 Pioneer Parkway
Johnston, Iowa 50131
Telephone: (888) 262-3348
<http://www.cardinal400.com>

Wolters Kluwer Financial Services
130 Turner Street, Building 3,
4th Floor
Waltham, Massachusetts 02453
Telephone (800) 261-3111
<http://www.wolterskluwer.com>