SUPPLEMENTAL INSTRUCTIONS

March 2013 Call Report Forms

Sample Call Report forms and an instruction book update for March 2013 are available on both the FFIEC’s Web site (http://www.ffiec.gov/ffiec_report_forms.htm) and the FDIC’s Web site (http://www.fdic.gov/callreports). Call Report forms, including the cover (signature) page, and instructional materials can be printed and downloaded from the FFIEC’s and the FDIC’s Web sites. In addition, institutions that use Call Report software generally can print paper copies of blank forms from their software. Please ensure that the person responsible for preparing Call Reports at your institution has been notified about the electronic availability of the March 2013 report forms and instruction book update as well as these Supplemental Instructions. The locations of changes to the text of the previous quarter’s Supplemental Instructions (except references to the quarter-end report date) are identified by a vertical line in the right margin.

Submission of Completed Reports

Each institution’s Call Report data must be submitted to the FFIEC’s Central Data Repository (CDR), an Internet-based system for data collection (https://cdr.ffiec.gov/cdr/), using one of the two methods described in the banking agencies’ Financial Institution Letter for the March 31, 2013, report date. For technical assistance with submissions to the CDR, please contact the CDR Help Desk by telephone at (888) CDR-3111, by fax at (703) 774-3946, or by e-mail at CDR.Help@ffiec.gov.

Institutions are required to maintain in their files a signed and attested hard-copy record of the Call Report data file submitted to the CDR. The appearance of this hard-copy record of the submitted data file need not match exactly the appearance of the sample report forms on the FFIEC’s Web site, but the hard-copy record should show at least the caption of each Call Report item and the reported amount. A copy of the cover page printed from Call Report software or from the FFIEC’s Web site should be used to fulfill the signature and attestation requirement. The signed cover page should be attached to the hard-copy record of the Call Report data file that must be placed in the institution’s files.

Currently, Call Report preparation software products marketed by Axiom Software Laboratories, Inc.; DBI Financial Systems, Inc.; Fed Reporter, Inc.; FinArch US, Inc.; FIS Compliance Solutions; FiServ, Inc.; FRSGlobal; Jack Henry & Associates, Inc.; and Lombard Risk meet the technical specifications for producing Call Report data files that are able to be processed by the CDR. The addresses and telephone numbers of these vendors are listed on page 9 of these Supplemental Instructions.

Proposed Call Report Revisions for 2013


The comment period for these proposed reporting changes ends April 22, 2013. At the end of the comment period, the comments and recommendations received will be analyzed to determine the extent to which the FFIEC and the banking agencies should modify the proposed revisions before giving final approval. The agencies will then submit the revisions to the U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval and also notify institutions about the revisions and their implementation schedule, subject to OMB approval.
“Purchased” Loans Originated By Others

When acquiring loans originated by others, institutions should consider whether the transaction should be accounted for as a purchase of the loans or as a secured borrowing (i.e., a loan to the originator) in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 860, Transfers and Servicing (formerly FASB Statement No. 140, “Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities,” as amended). For the transaction to qualify as a sale by the originator to the acquiring institution, certain conditions must be met:

- First, unless the transfer is of an entire financial asset, the transferred portion of the financial asset must meet the definition of a participating interest.
- Second, the transfer must meet all of the conditions set forth in ASC Subtopic 860-10 to demonstrate that the transferor has surrendered control over the transferred financial assets.

For example, some institutions have entered into various residential mortgage loan purchase programs. These programs often function like traditional warehouse lines of credit; however, in some cases, the mortgage loan transfers are legally structured as purchases by the institution rather than as pledges of collateral to secure the funding. Under these programs, an institution provides funding to a mortgage loan originator while simultaneously obtaining an interest in the mortgage loans subject to a takeout commitment. A takeout commitment is a written commitment from an approved investor (generally, an unrelated third party) to purchase one or more mortgage loans from the originator.

Although the facts and circumstances of each program must be carefully evaluated to determine the appropriate accounting, an institution should generally account for a mortgage purchase program with continuing involvement by the originator, including takeout commitments, as a secured borrowing with pledge of collateral, i.e., a loan to the originator secured by the residential mortgage loans, rather than a purchase of mortgage loans.

When loans obtained in a mortgage purchase program do not qualify for sale accounting, the financing provided to the originator (if not held for trading purposes) should be reported in Call Report Schedule RC-C, part I, item 9.a, “Loans to nondepository financial institutions,” and on the balance sheet in Schedule RC, item 4.a, “Loans and leases held for sale,” or item 4.b, “Loans and leases, net of unearned income,” as appropriate. For risk-based capital purposes, a loan to a mortgage loan originator secured by residential mortgages that is reported in Schedule RC-C, part I, item 9.a, should be assigned a 100 percent risk weight and included in column F of Schedule RC-R, item 38 or 39, based on its balance sheet classification.

Noninterest-bearing Transaction Accounts of More than $250,000

Memorandum items 5.a and 5.b of Call Report Schedule RC-O collect data on the amount and number of noninterest-bearing transaction accounts of more than $250,000. Although the temporary unlimited deposit insurance on these account ended on December 31, 2012, the agencies are interested in monitoring the behavior of these deposit accounts following the change in insurance coverage. Accordingly, the agencies are continuing to collect these Memorandum items in the March 31, 2013, Call Report and in future reports. The agencies will review this information and reconsider the collection at such time as the number of accounts and amount of deposits stabilizes.

Market Risk Capital Rules

In August 2012, the agencies published a joint final rule revising their market risk capital rules effective January 1, 2013. The joint final rule modified the definition of a covered position, revised the calculation of the measure for market risk, and eliminated Tier 3 capital. Institutions subject to the market risk capital rules should report their market risk equivalent assets in item 58 of Schedule RC-R, Regulatory Capital, in accordance with the revised rules. This quarter’s instruction book update includes revisions to the portions of the instructions for Schedule RC-R affected by the revised market risk capital rules.
Indemnification Assets and Accounting Standards Update No. 2012-06

In October 2012, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2012-06, “Subsequent Accounting for an Indemnification Asset Recognized at the Acquisition Date as a Result of a Government-Assisted Acquisition of a Financial Institution,” to address the subsequent measurement of an indemnification asset recognized in an acquisition of a financial institution that includes an FDIC loss-sharing agreement. This ASU amends ASC Topic 805, Business Combinations (formerly FASB Statement No. 141 (revised 2007), “Business Combinations”), which includes guidance applicable to FDIC-assisted acquisitions of failed institutions.

Under the ASU, when an institution experiences a change in the cash flows expected to be collected on an FDIC loss-sharing indemnification asset because of a change in the cash flows expected to be collected on the assets covered by the loss-sharing agreement, the institution should account for the change in the measurement of the indemnification asset on the same basis as the change in the assets subject to indemnification. Any amortization of changes in the value of the indemnification asset should be limited to the lesser of the term of the indemnification agreement and the remaining life of the indemnified assets.

The ASU is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning on or after December 15, 2012. Early adoption of the ASU is permitted. For institutions with a calendar year fiscal year, the ASU took effect January 1, 2013. The ASU’s provisions should be applied prospectively to any new indemnification assets acquired after the date of adoption and to indemnification assets existing as of the date of adoption arising from an FDIC-assisted acquisition of a financial institution. Institutions with indemnification assets arising from FDIC loss-sharing agreements are expected to adopt ASU 2012-06 for Call Report purposes in accordance with the effective date of this standard.

For additional information, institutions should refer to ASU 2012-06, which is available at http://www.fasb.org/jsp/FASB/Page/SectionPage&cid=1176156316498.

Goodwill Impairment Testing

In September 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2011-08, “Testing Goodwill for Impairment,” to address concerns about the cost and complexity of the existing goodwill impairment test in ASC Topic 350, Intangibles-Goodwill and Other (formerly FASB Statement No. 142, “Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets”).

Under ASU 2011-08, an institution has the option of first assessing qualitative factors to determine whether it is necessary to perform the two-step quantitative goodwill impairment test described in ASC Topic 350, but may choose to bypass the qualitative assessment in any period and proceed directly to the two-step goodwill impairment test. The ASU includes examples of events and circumstances that an institution should consider in evaluating whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. This quarter’s Call Report instruction book update includes a new Glossary entry for “Goodwill” that summarizes the impairment testing requirements for goodwill.

Small Business Lending Fund

The Small Business Lending Fund (SBLF) was created in 2010 to encourage lending to small businesses by providing capital to qualified community institutions. The SBLF Program is administered by the U.S. Treasury Department (http://www.treasury.gov/resource-center/sb-programs/Pages/Small-Business-Lending-Fund.aspx).

Under the SBLF Program, the Treasury Department purchased noncumulative perpetual preferred stock from qualifying depository institutions and holding companies (other than Subchapter S and mutual institutions). When issued by a depository institution, this stock should be reported on the Call Report balance sheet (Schedule RC) in item 23, “Perpetual preferred stock and related surplus.” For regulatory capital purposes, this noncumulative perpetual preferred stock qualifies as a component of Tier 1 capital and should be included in the amount reported for “Total bank equity capital” in item 1 of Schedule RC-R, Regulatory Capital.
Qualifying Subchapter S corporations and mutual institutions issued unsecured subordinated debentures to the Treasury Department through the SBLF. Depository institutions that issued these debentures should report them on the Call Report balance sheet (Schedule RC) in item 19, “Subordinated notes and debentures.” For regulatory capital purposes, the debentures are eligible for inclusion in an institution’s Tier 2 capital. Institutions should report the portion of these debentures that qualify for inclusion in Tier 2 capital in accordance with their primary federal regulator’s capital standards in Schedule RC-R, item 12, “Qualifying subordinated debt and redeemable preferred stock.”

To participate in the SBLF Program, an institution with outstanding securities issued to the Treasury Department under the Capital Purchase Program (CPP) was required to refinance or repay in full the CPP securities at the time of the SBLF funding. Any outstanding warrants that an institution issued to the Treasury Department under the CPP remain outstanding after the refinancing of the CPP stock through the SBLF Program unless the institution chooses to repurchase them. Any repurchase of warrants issued under the CPP and classified as equity capital on the balance sheet (Schedule RC) should be reported in Schedule RI-A, item 5, “Sale, conversion, acquisition, or retirement of capital stock, net.”

Troubled Debt Restructurings and Current Market Interest Rates

Many institutions are restructuring or modifying the terms of loans through workout programs, renewals, extensions, or other means to provide payment relief for borrowers who have suffered deterioration in their financial condition. Such loan restructurings may include, but are not limited to, reductions in principal or accrued interest, reductions in interest rates, and extensions of the maturity date. Modifications may be executed at the original contractual interest rate on the loan, a current market interest rate, or a below-market interest rate. Many of these loan modifications meet the definition of a troubled debt restructuring (TDR).

The TDR accounting and reporting standards are set forth in ASC Subtopic 310-40, Receivables – Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors (formerly FASB Statement No. 15, “Accounting by Debtors and Creditors for Troubled Debt Restructurings,” as amended). This guidance specifies that a restructuring of a debt constitutes a TDR if, at the date of restructuring, the creditor for economic or legal reasons related to a debtor’s financial difficulties grants a concession to the debtor that it would not otherwise consider. The creditor’s concession may include a restructuring of the terms of a debt to alleviate the burden of the debtor’s near-term cash requirements, such as a modification of terms to reduce or defer cash payments required of the debtor in the near future to help the debtor attempt to improve its financial condition and eventually be able to pay the creditor.

The stated interest rate charged to the borrower after a loan restructuring may be greater than or equal to interest rates available in the marketplace for similar types of loans to nontroubled borrowers at the time of the restructuring. Some institutions have concluded that these restructurings are not TDRs; however, this conclusion may be inappropriate. In reaching this conclusion, these institutions may not have considered all of the facts and circumstances associated with the loan modification besides the interest rate. An interest rate on a modified loan greater than or equal to those available in the marketplace for similar loans to nontroubled borrowers does not in and of itself preclude a modification from being designated as a TDR. Rather, when evaluating a loan modification to a borrower experiencing financial difficulties, an analysis of all facts and circumstances is necessary to determine whether the institution has made a concession to the borrower with respect to the market interest rate or has made some other type of concession that could trigger TDR accounting and disclosure (for example, terms or conditions outside of the institution’s policies or common market practices). If TDR accounting and disclosure is appropriate, the institution must determine how the modified or restructured loan should be reported in the Call Report.

Generally, a restructured loan yields a current market interest rate if the restructuring agreement specifies an interest rate greater than or equal to the rate that the institution was willing to accept at the time of the restructuring for a new loan with comparable risk. A restructured loan does not yield a market interest rate simply because the interest rate charged under the restructuring agreement has not been reduced. In addition, when a modification results in an increase (either temporary or permanent) in the contractual interest rate, the increased interest rate cannot be presumed to be an interest rate that is at or above market. Therefore, in
determining whether a loan has been modified at a market interest rate, an institution should analyze the borrower’s current financial condition and compare the rate on the modified loan to rates the institution would charge customers with similar financial characteristics on similar types of loans. This determination requires the use of judgment and should include an analysis of credit history and scores, loan-to-value ratios or other collateral protection, the borrower’s ability to generate cash flow sufficient to meet the repayment terms, and other factors normally considered when underwriting and pricing loans.

Likewise, a change in the interest rate on a modified or restructured loan does not necessarily mean that the modification is a TDR. For example, a creditor may lower the interest rate to maintain a relationship with a debtor that can readily obtain funds from other sources. To be a TDR, the borrower must also be experiencing financial difficulties. The evaluation of whether a borrower is experiencing financial difficulties is based upon individual facts and circumstances and requires the use of judgment when determining if a modification of the borrower’s loan should be accounted for and reported as a TDR.

In the Call Report, until a loan that is a TDR is paid in full or otherwise settled, sold, or charged off, the loan must be reported in the appropriate loan category in Schedule RC-C, part I, items 1 through 9, and in the appropriate loan category in:

- Schedule RC-C, part I, Memorandum item 1, if it is in compliance with its modified terms, or
- Schedule RC-N, Memorandum item 1, if it is not in compliance with its modified terms.

However, a loan that is a TDR (for example, because of a modification that includes a reduction in principal) that yields a market interest rate at the time of restructuring and is in compliance with its modified terms need not continue to be reported as a TDR in Schedule RC-C, part I, Memorandum item 1, in calendar years after the year in which the restructuring took place. To be considered in compliance with its modified terms, a loan that is a TDR must be in accrual status and must be current or less than 30 days past due on its contractual principal and interest payments under the modified repayment terms.

A loan restructured in a TDR is an impaired loan. Thus, all TDRs must be measured for impairment in accordance with ASC Subtopic 310-10, Receivables – Overall (formerly FASB Statement No. 114, “Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan,” as amended), and the Glossary entry for “Loan Impairment.” Consistent with ASC Subtopic 310-10, TDRs may be aggregated and measured for impairment with other impaired loans that share common risk characteristics by using historical statistics, such as average recovery period and average amount recovered, along with a composite effective interest rate. The outcome of applying such an aggregation approach must be consistent with the impairment measurement methods prescribed in ASC Subtopic 310-10 and the “Loan Impairment” Glossary entry for loans that are individually considered impaired (i.e., the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's original effective interest rate or the loan's observable market price if the loan is not collateral dependent; the fair value of the collateral – less estimated costs to sell, if appropriate – if the loan is collateral dependent). Thus, an institution applying the aggregation approach to TDRs should not use the measurement method prescribed in ASC Subtopic 450-20, Contingencies – Loss Contingencies (formerly FASB Statement No. 5, “Accounting for Contingencies”) for loans not individually considered impaired that are collectively evaluated for impairment. When a loan not previously considered individually impaired is restructured and determined to be a TDR, absent a partial charge-off, it generally is not appropriate for the impairment estimate on the loan to decline as a result of the change from the impairment measurement method prescribed in ASC Subtopic 450-20 to the methods prescribed in ASC Subtopic 310-10.

For further information, see the Glossary entry for "Troubled Debt Restructurings" and the instructions for Schedules RC-C, part I, and RC-N.

**Troubled Debt Restructurings and Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-02**

In April 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2011-02, "A Creditor’s Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring," to provide additional guidance to help creditors determine whether a concession has been granted to a borrower and whether a borrower is experiencing
financial difficulties. The guidance is also intended to reduce diversity in practice in identifying and reporting TDRs. This ASU was effective for public companies for interim and annual periods beginning on or after June 15, 2011, and should have been applied retrospectively to the beginning of the annual period of adoption for purposes of identifying TDRs. The measurement of impairment for any newly identified TDRs resulting from retrospective application should have been applied prospectively in the first interim or annual period beginning on or after June 15, 2011. (For most public institutions, the ASU took effect July 1, 2011, but retrospective application began as of January 1, 2011.) Nonpublic companies should apply the new guidance for annual periods ending after December 15, 2012, including interim periods within those annual periods. (For most nonpublic institutions, the ASU took effect January 1, 2012.) Early adoption of the ASU was permitted for both public and nonpublic entities. Nonpublic entities that adopt early are subject to a retrospective identification requirement.

Institutions are expected to continue to follow the accounting and reporting guidance on TDRs in the preceding section of these Supplemental Instructions and in the Call Report instruction book. To the extent the guidance in the ASU differs from an institution’s existing accounting policies and practices for identifying TDRs, the institution will be expected to apply the ASU for Call Report purposes in accordance with the standard’s effective date and transition provisions, which are outlined above. To the extent that an institution’s existing accounting policies and practices are consistent with guidance in the ASU, the institution should continue to follow its existing policies and practices.

ASU 2011-02 reiterates that the two conditions mentioned in the preceding section, “Troubled Debt Restructurings and Current Market Interest Rates,” must exist in order for a loan modification to be deemed a TDR: (1) an institution must grant a concession to the borrower as part of the modification and (2) the borrower must be experiencing financial difficulties. The ASU explains that an institution may determine that a borrower is experiencing financial difficulties if it is probable that the borrower will default on any of its debts in the foreseeable future. The borrower does not have to be in default at the time of the modification. Other possible factors that should be considered in evaluating whether a borrower is experiencing financial difficulties is if the borrower has declared (or is in the process of declaring) bankruptcy, the creditor does not expect the borrower’s cash flows to be sufficient to service its debt under the existing terms, or there is substantial doubt about an entity's ability to continue as a going concern.

Another important aspect of the ASU is that it prohibits financial institutions from using the effective interest rate test included in the TDR guidance for borrowers in ASC Subtopic 470-60, Debt – Troubled Debt Restructurings by Debtors, when determining whether the creditor has granted a concession as part of a loan modification. However, as explained in ASU 2011-02, if a borrower does not have access to funds at a market rate of interest for similar debt, the rate on the modified loan is considered to be a below-market rate and may be an indicator that the institution has granted a concession to the borrower. In this situation, a creditor must consider all aspects of the loan modification in determining whether it has granted a concession.

Furthermore, the ASU provides new guidance regarding insignificant delays in payment as part of a loan modification. If, after analysis of all facts and circumstances, a creditor determines that a delay in payment is insignificant, the creditor has not granted a concession to the borrower. This determination requires judgment and should consider many factors, including, but not limited to, the amount of the delayed payments in relation to the loan’s unpaid principal or collateral value, the frequency of payments due on the loan, the original contractual maturity, and the original expected duration of the loan.

For additional information, institutions should refer to ASU 2011-02, which is available at http://www.fasb.org/jsp/FASB/Page/SectionPage&cid=1176156316498.

Prepaid Deposit Insurance Assessments

In November 2009, the FDIC adopted a final rule requiring insured depository institutions (except those that are exempted) to prepay an FDIC-determined estimate of their quarterly risk-based deposit insurance assessments for the fourth quarter of 2009, and for all of 2010, 2011, and 2012, on December 30, 2009. Each institution’s regular risk-based deposit insurance assessment for the third quarter of 2009, which is paid in
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Arrears, also was paid on December 30, 2009. The original full amount of each institution’s prepaid assessment was included on its Quarterly Certified Statement Invoice for the third quarter 2009 Insurance Period, which was available on FDICconnect, the FDIC’s e-business portal, as of December 15, 2009.

Each institution should record the estimated expense for its regular quarterly risk-based assessment for each calendar quarter through a charge to expense during that quarter and a corresponding credit to its prepaid assessments asset (or to an accrued expense payable if it has no prepaid assessments asset). As a result of the interaction between the prepaid assessments and the regular quarterly assessments, the remaining amount of the prepaid assessments asset, if any, that an institution should report as a prepaid expense in its March 31, 2013, Call Report normally should be:

- The remaining balance of “Prepaid Assessment Credits” shown on the Summary Statement of Assessment Credits page of the institution’s Quarterly Certified Statement Invoice for the October 1 through December 31, 2012, Insurance Period, which was available on FDICconnect as of March 15, 2013;
- Less the estimated amount of the institution’s regular quarterly assessment for the first quarter of 2013 (which should have been accrued as a charge to expense during the first quarter of 2013). The quarterly assessment for the first quarter of 2013 should be estimated based on the provisions of the FDIC’s February 2011 final rule that redefined the deposit insurance assessment base for all insured institutions and revised the assessment system for large institutions. For further information on this final rule, see FDIC Financial Institution Letter FIL-8-2011 dated February 9, 2011, which can be accessed at http://www.fdic.gov/news/news/financial/2011/fil11008.html.

An institution’s prepaid assessments asset, if any, should be reported in Schedule RC-F, item 6, “All other assets,” and, if it is greater than $25,000 and exceeds 25 percent of the amount reported in item 6, it also should be reported in Schedule RC-F, item 6.f, “Prepaid deposit insurance assessments.” The year-to-date deposit insurance assessment expense for 2013 should be reported in Schedule RI, item 7.d, “Other noninterest expense.”

When completing Schedule RC-R, Regulatory Capital, an institution may assign a zero-percent risk weight to the amount of its prepaid deposit insurance assessments asset in item 42 of this schedule.


Other-Than-Temporary Impairment

When the fair value of an investment in an individual available-for-sale or held-to-maturity security is less than its cost basis, the impairment is either temporary or other-than-temporary. To determine whether the impairment is other-than-temporary, an institution must apply the applicable accounting guidance as discussed in the Glossary entry for “Securities Activities.”

For regulatory capital purposes, any other-than-temporary impairment losses on both held-to-maturity and available-for-sale debt securities related to factors other than credit that are reported, net of applicable taxes, in Schedule RC, item 26.b, “Accumulated other comprehensive income,” should be included in Schedule RC-R, item 2, together with the net unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale securities that are reported in item 2. Furthermore, when determining the regulatory capital limit for deferred tax assets, an institution may, but is not required to, adjust the reported amount of its deferred tax assets for any deferred tax assets arising from other-than-temporary impairment losses reported, net of applicable taxes, in Schedule RC, item 26.b in accumulated other comprehensive income. An institution must follow a consistent approach over time with respect to this adjustment to the reported amount of deferred tax assets.
In addition, when risk-weighting a held-to-maturity debt security for which an other-than-temporary impairment loss related to factors other than credit was previously recognized in other comprehensive income, include the carrying value of the debt security in column A of Schedule RC-R, item 35. Then, include the pre-tax amount of this impairment loss that has not yet been accreted from accumulated other comprehensive income to the carrying value of the security as a negative number in column B of Schedule RC-R, item 35, and include the amortized cost of the security in the appropriate risk-weight category column of item 35 (provided the security is not a purchased subordinated security that is not eligible for the ratings-based approach). For a security on which an other-than-temporary impairment loss has been recognized, amortized cost is the security’s previous amortized cost as of the date of the most recently recognized other-than-temporary impairment loss less the amount of impairment loss recognized in earnings adjusted for subsequent accretion of interest income and payments received on the security.

**Reporting Defined Benefit Postretirement Plans**

ASC Subtopic 715-20, Compensation-Retirement Benefits – Defined Benefit Plans-General (formerly FASB Statement No. 158, “Employers’ Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans” (FAS 158)) requires an institution that sponsors a single-employer defined benefit postretirement plan, such as a pension plan or health care plan, to recognize the funded status of each such plan on its balance sheet. An overfunded plan is recognized as an asset while an underfunded plan is recognized as a liability. An institution should measure the net period benefit cost of a defined benefit plan for a reporting period in accordance with ASC Subtopic 715-30 (formerly FASB Statement No. 87, “Employers’ Accounting for Pensions”) for pension plans and ASC Subtopic 715-60 (formerly FASB Statement No. 106, “Employers’ Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions”) for other postretirement benefit plans.

For regulatory capital purposes, institutions should reverse the effects on accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI) of applying ASC Subtopic 715-20, including for purposes of reporting and measuring the numerators and denominators for the leverage and risk-based capital ratios. The intent of the reversal is to neutralize for regulatory capital purposes the effect on AOCI of the application of ASC Subtopic 715-20. This quarter’s Call Report instruction book update includes a new Glossary entry for “Defined Benefit Postretirement Plans” that describes certain aspects of the accounting and reporting for such plans.

**Amending Previously Submitted Report Data**

Should your institution find that it needs to revise previously submitted Call Report data, please make the appropriate changes to the data, ensure that the revised data passes the FFIEC-published validation criteria, and submit the revised data file to the CDR using one of the two methods described in the banking agencies' Financial Institution Letter for the March 31, 2013, report date. For technical assistance with the submission of amendments to the CDR, please contact the CDR Help Desk by telephone at (888) CDR-3111, by fax at (703) 774-3946, or by e-mail at CDR.Help@ffiec.gov.

**Other Reporting Matters**

For the following topics, institutions should continue to follow the guidance in the specified Call Report Supplemental Instructions:

- Consolidated variable interest entities – Supplemental Instructions for September 30, 2011 (http://www.ffiec.gov/PDF/FFIEC_forms/FFIEC031_FFIEC041_suppinst_201109.pdf)
• Accounting for share-based payments under FASB Statement No. 123 (Revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* – Supplemental Instructions for December 31, 2006
(http://www.ffiec.gov/PDF/FFIEC_forms/FFIEC031_041_suppinst_200612.pdf)

• Tobacco Transition Payment (Buyout) Program – Supplemental Instructions for March 31, 2006
(http://www.ffiec.gov/PDF/FFIEC_forms/FFIEC031_041_suppinst_200603.pdf)

• Commitments to originate and sell mortgage loans – Supplemental Instructions for March 31, 2006
(http://www.ffiec.gov/PDF/FFIEC_forms/FFIEC031_041_suppinst_200603.pdf) and June 30, 2005
(http://www.ffiec.gov/PDF/FFIEC_forms/FFIEC031_041_suppinst_200506.pdf)

**Call Report Software Vendors**

For information on available Call Report preparation software products, institutions should contact:

Axiom Software Laboratories, Inc.  
67 Wall Street, 17th Floor  
New York, New York  10005  
Telephone: (212) 248-4188  
http://www.axiomsl.com

DBI Financial Systems, Inc.  
P.O. Box 14027  
Bradenton, Florida  34280  
Telephone: (800) 774-3279  
http://www.e-dbi.com

Fed Reporter, Inc.  
28118 Agoura Road, Suite 202  
Agoura Hills, California  91301  
Telephone: (888) 972-3772  
http://www.fedreporter.net

FinArch US, Inc.  
Burlington Center, 4th Floor  
35 Corporate Drive  
Burlington, Massachusetts  01803  
Telephone: (781) 685-4600  
http://www.finarch.com

FIS Compliance Solutions  
16855 West Bernardo Drive,  
Suite 270  
San Diego, California  92127  
Telephone: (800) 825-3772  
http://www.callreporter.com

FiServ, Inc.  
1345 Old Cheney Road  
Lincoln, Nebraska  68512  
Telephone: (402) 423-2682  
http://www.premier.fiserv.com

FRSGlobal  
130 Turner Street  
Building 3, 4th Floor  
Waltham, Massachusetts  02453  
Telephone: (781) 370-1518  
http://www.frsglobal.com/regions/usa.html

Jack Henry & Associates, Inc.  
Regulatory Filing Group  
7600B North Capital of Texas  
Highway, Suite 320  
Austin, Texas  78731  
Telephone: (800) 688-9191  
http://filing.jackhenry.com

Lombard Risk  
One Gateway Center, 26th Floor  
Newark, New Jersey  07102  
Telephone: (973) 648-0900  
http://www.lombardrisk.com